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1. Introduction

The national movement to conserve natural and cultural heritage began with the recognition that America’s wasteful use of land, resources, and buildings was no longer acceptable or sustainable for the future. In the late nineteenth and early twentieth centuries, government agencies were concerned mainly with the conservation of natural resources, while private groups saved individual landmark buildings.

The first official recognition of the need to protect the nation’s heritage started with the passage of the federal Antiquities Act in 1906. Since then, a number of laws and regulations have been enacted to further the protection of the nation’s and Delaware’s cultural patrimony.

In the mid-twentieth century, the federal government passed legislation to create the first nationwide governmental program for historic preservation (see Appendix I for a list of key federal and state laws and regulations).

The State of Delaware has participated in this program since 1970. Today, all levels of government, statewide organizations, and many local groups are involved in historic preservation and need to work together towards a sustainable future that protects the important reminders of our past. This document sets out the next steps for Delaware to work towards the goals of the broader heritage conservation movement.

Preservation in Delaware Started Early

• Mrs. Joseph P. Comegys, wife of the Chief Justice of Delaware, was one of the guiding powers in the Mount Vernon Ladies Association in the late nineteenth century.

• In 1895, a local group, the Friends of Old Drawyers, formed to preserve Old Drawyers Presbyterian Church north of Odessa.

• Mabel Lloyd Ridgely, an early preservationist, was instrumental in saving a number of Dover buildings, including the Old State House in 1912.

• Louise du Pont Crowninshield, a nationally recognized preservationist, was a founding trustee of the National Trust for Historic Preservation in 1949.

Background of the Plan

The National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 and subsequent amendments created a joint federal/state partnership that provided funding and incentives to identify and protect significant historic resources, including buildings, districts, and archaeological sites. Over the years, this federal/state partnership has become the foundation for a broad-based historic preservation program nationwide that encourages grassroots preservation initiatives.

In Delaware, the State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) manages the joint partnership and supports preservation initiatives in communities throughout the state. As part of its work, the SHPO updates the State Historic Preservation Plan every five years.

This current Plan embraces the goals and recommendations outlined in the 2013-2017 State Historic Preservation Plan, entitled Preserving our Past for a Better Future. It recognizes the accomplishments of the past five years in historic preservation and community planning statewide as well as the serious issues and challenges that continue to arise.
Chapter 2 of the Plan provides an overview of the current knowledge about Delaware’s historic resources, within a broader context of landscapes and land use. Chapter 3 explores the many factors influencing historic preservation today. The Plan adapts a statewide approach to preservation to current and anticipated economic, social, and environmental trends. Next, Chapter 4 identifies a wide variety of existing agencies and programs that are – or could be – partners in historic preservation efforts.

Chapter 5 describes the outreach conducted that resulted in this document. The 2018-2022 State Historic Preservation Plan was developed through consultation with the public, planners, and preservationists around Delaware to identify key issues and opportunities as well as strategies that might address them.

Several important points emerged. First was that many of the impacts on historic and cultural resources emanate from forces beyond the scope of traditional preservation practice. Second was that community character is central to Delaware’s appeal and to its long-term economic development strategy, and that historic resources are central to community character.

These points reinforced the need to reach out to a broader range of potential partners as the core of our preservation approach. Most potential partners these days are working to achieve their missions with limited financial and human resources. By finding common ground and collaborating toward mutual and overlapping goals we can achieve broader and more substantial success.

Perhaps most importantly, it is clear that the most significant achievements in historic preservation happen at the grassroots level, undertaken by a wide variety of individuals and local groups of varying types, experience, and capacities. Nurturing and support for these local groups—often volunteers—may be the best investment that can be made.

Chapter 6 outlines a new Preservation Approach that was informed by the background research, analysis and outreach.

The approach is defined by a Vision Statement that provides a focus for the strategies and actions included in this preservation plan:

Delaware’s historic properties and landscapes are recognized by all as essential to community character and quality of life, and their preservation is supported by a network of public and private stakeholders working with common goals.

Chapter 7 then gets to the heart of the matter: what are the primary goals for realizing that vision? This is essentially the plan of action for the next 5 years.

Six Broad Goals

The 2018-2022 State Historic Preservation Plan outlines recommendations for strategies and specific actions under six broad goals.

1. Strengthen and expand Delaware’s core federal/state historic preservation program to provide a solid foundation for preservation initiatives throughout the state.
2. Enhance or establish relationships among government programs that impact historic preservation, raising awareness of common goals that support preservation and appropriate treatment.
3. Encourage inclusion of historic preservation values as a best practice in landscape and community planning as a means of recognizing the contribution of historic resources to community character and quality of life.
4. Concentrate upon the support of grassroots historic preservation initiatives in communities throughout the state.
5. Strive to make the best possible information on Delaware’s historic resources widely available to agencies, communities, stakeholders, and the general public.
6. Broadly communicate the value of Delaware’s historic resources and the means through which they can be preserved and enhanced.

Preservation stakeholders in Delaware form a loose network of individuals and organizations that become more engaged as particular issues arise. There is a need, however, to coordinate historic preservation and related activities at the federal, state, county, and local levels. The door must be open to ideas from many sources, from government to grassroots. The challenge is in making and sustaining connections to ensure stakeholders receive the support and guidance they need.

Over the next five years, a wide variety of partners will be engaged to strengthen and improve the historic preservation network in Delaware using the strategies outlined in this Plan. Through this effort, stakeholders will work to preserve historic and cultural resources and enhance community character throughout the state.

Chapter 7 includes charts to help organize the key objectives under the six goals. Each chart outlines strategies for achieving the goals, with specific actions and discrete tasks, as well as a list of potential partners that could be instrumental in carrying out this work.
2. DELAWARE’S LANDSCAPES, COMMUNITIES AND HISTORIC RESOURCES

Delaware’s landscapes comprise a wide range of natural, historic, and cultural resources that combine to shape the state’s distinctive character.

The discussion below is intended to demonstrate that Delaware’s natural and cultural landscapes are inextricably intertwined. This lays the groundwork for appreciating the importance of landscape and community planning in the management of character-defining historic and cultural resources. In implementing the goals and strategies, outlined in this preservation plan, actions should be customized to the specific types of landscapes and resources present within the state, outlined below.

Landscape Context

Although the state is made up of a number of ecoregions, the majority of Delaware is situated in the Middle Atlantic Coastal Plain, a low, nearly flat plain with many swampy or marshy areas that extends northeastward from Georgia to New Jersey. Delaware is situated in an ecological transition zone where a number of northern plant and animal species reach their southern limit of natural distribution, and an even greater number of southern species reach their northern limit.

Within Delaware’s portion of the Coastal Plain, a narrow area known as the Delaware River Terraces and Uplands borders and drains toward the Delaware Bay. This area has very low relief, is predominantly agricultural, and includes extensive coastal marshes that are of global ecological significance.

Delaware’s coastal marshes have been the focus of statewide conservation efforts over the last half century.

To the south, barrier islands border the Atlantic Ocean along the southeastern edge of the state and have seen the development of popular beach communities. Most of inland Delaware is known ecologically as the Delmarva Uplands, which drains west toward the Chesapeake Bay and east towards the Delaware River and Bay. This is a landscape of nearly level to gently rolling uplands of the Delmarva Peninsula. It features sandy ridges, swales, and the central ridge of the peninsula. Marshes and swamps are present and include the Great Cypress Swamp of southern Delaware. Elevations range from about 20 to less than 100 feet above sea level.

Streams and rivers of the Delmarva Uplands are often flanked by riparian woodland. These streams are generally low in gradient, often tidally influenced, and have wide valleys. Many of the streams have been straightened and deepened to improve drainage.

Fig. 2. Aerial photograph of Blackbird Creek, 1999 (Courtesy of DE Department of Transportation).

Fig. 3. Map EPA Ecoregions of Delaware, image courtesy of the Environmental Protection Agency
A. Piedmont Uplands
B. Chesapeake Rolling Coastal Plain
C. Delmarva Uplands
D. Delaware River Terraces and Uplands
E. Virginia Barrier Islands and Coastal Marshes

Fig. 4. Adams Home Farm, listed in the National Register of Historic Places, 11/23/2015 (Catherine Adams Masek, photographer).
Established at the navigable limits of the region’s
Throughout the Delaware Coastal Plain, small, often require artificial drainage to be farmed.
The sandy soils and other less permeable soils poor and have a limited water holding capacity.
also present. The region’s sandy soils are nutrient the landscape. Areas of commercial woodland are
The northern tip of Delaware above Wilmington touches the uplands of the Northern Piedmont and is characterized by rounded hills, low ridges, relative high relief, and narrow valleys. The Piedmont Uplands are underlain by metamorphic rock, and its edge follows the limits of this geological formation, in contrast to the sedimentary rocks and soils of the Coastal Plain.

The Fall Line between these two ecological regions is an area of steep stream gradients and exposed bedrock and was an attractive location for the 18th and 19th century water-powered industries for which Wilmington became nationally and internationally known.

This Piedmont Upland of northern New Castle County has become the most highly developed landscape in Delaware. It includes extensive urban and suburban areas and features a segment of the national transportation corridor connecting major cities along the East Coast.

Historically, Delaware, like most of the Northeast, was largely forested. Limited forest areas were probably opened periodically due to fires set by lightning strikes and the burning and clearing of forest by Native Americans. Delaware was almost entirely cleared for agriculture over a period of about 150 years after initial European settlement. In areas where farming has not been practical or productive due to poor soils, poor drainage, or steep terrain, there has been significant re-growth of forest throughout the state. Today, approximately 30% of Delaware’s land area is forested and approximately 25% of the state is covered by wetlands. There is significant overlap in these two classifications, since the majority (over 64%) of wetlands in the state are forested.

Overall, agriculture remains Delaware’s dominant land use, with about twice as much land in agriculture as in forest. However, land in farms has declined somewhat in recent decades, with a concurrent increase in residential and commercial development.

The environmental context for Delaware’s development includes a landscape rich in cultural and natural resources, which has been shaped by both the actions of humans and the state’s unique physical environment. Although Delaware is small in size, its landscape is diverse and unique due to the combination of its topographic setting, coastal and riverine features, and the historical and cultural influences that have shaped its development.

Cultural Landscape Types

Within Delaware’s overall landscape context, a number of prominent cultural landscape types can be identified. Cultural landscapes are historically significant places that show evidence of human interaction with the physical environment. Their authenticity is measured by historical integrity, or the presence and condition of physical characteristics that remain from the historic period. This plan recommends undertaking a study for this purpose. Each cultural landscape type has a different pattern of resources with distinct challenges warranting different approaches to preservation.

Urban and Industrial Landscapes

Delaware’s urban and industrial landscapes are primarily located in Wilmington and adjacent industrial areas. It includes the city’s business center, surrounding urban neighborhoods of varying types, ages, and demographics; commercial areas; the Port of Wilmington, and both abandoned and surviving industrial sites. These areas have uniquely urban character, resources, demographics, and issues, including sites requiring environmental cleanup. Beyond the urban centers are other types of industrial landscapes and properties, including sites related to the grain and poultry industries, fossil fuel and power facilities.

Developed Suburban Landscapes

Northern New Castle County is a highly developed suburban landscape that includes historic communities and the extensive suburbs north and west of Wilmington. This area is heavily influenced by the Route 95 corridor. It is Delaware’s economic engine as home to many high-income businesses related to the financial sector as well as affluent suburban commercial and residential 20th century neighborhoods. This area continues to be a focus of intense growth.

Agricultural Landscapes

Southern New Castle County and Kent and Sussex Counties are the location of extensive agricultural areas of distinctive character. Its open agricultural fields are interspersed with wetlands and woodlands. This agricultural landscape has high integrity with respect to its historic landscape patterns, property boundaries, and land uses. Yet many of its built historic resources are ephemeral in nature—light wood structures subject to neglect and loss due to changes in agricultural crops and practices. State and county governments recognize the economic importance of this landscape and have implemented aggressive agricultural preservation programs.

Conservation Landscapes

Delaware’s extensive and globally significant coastal marshes border the bay and ocean and provide critical ecological habitat. Much of this land is in state and federal ownership. Inland, wooded wetlands along rivers and small streams have been a focus for land conservation, preserves, parks, and greenways. These protected areas are closely intertwined and associated with Delaware’s agricultural landscape.
The barrier islands along Delaware’s southeastern coast have seen the development of historic beach communities. Extensive subdivisions and commercial corridors have grown inland, adjacent to those flowing west to the Chesapeake Bay. Other towns developed in the mid-nineteenth century with the introduction of railroads linking Delaware’s agricultural landscape with northern markets.

Beach Communities

These towns tend to have tight layouts, be of small scale, and feature mostly wood construction. Many have crisply defined edges in relationship to the surrounding open agricultural areas that they serve. Others have an early historic core surrounded by later nineteenth and early twentieth century development. Modern highways linking these towns, supplanted the railroads, and are subject to surrounding suburban expansion.

Historic Town Centers

Historic market towns, many dating to the Colonial era have developed within Delaware’s agricultural landscape. Many of these towns were established at the navigable inland limits of the region’s narrow rivers, both those flowing east to Delaware Bay and those flowing west to the Chesapeake Bay. Other towns developed in the mid-nineteenth century with the introduction of railroads linking Delaware’s agricultural landscape with northern markets.

Roadside Commercial Corridors

In the early and mid-twentieth century, roadside commercial corridors developed throughout the state outside of and between historic town centers as automobile usage increased. A variety of types of commercial uses and structures evolved under limited regulation. For the most part, buildings tended to be of light construction from the 1920s through the 1950s. More intense and sophisticated commercial development and sprawl has occurred since.

Surveyed Historic Resources

Since 1970, when Delaware’s state historic preservation program was created, extensive surveys have been undertaken in communities and landscapes across the state. The quality and comprehensiveness of these surveys vary, and there are still significant gaps as discussed later in this chapter. Nevertheless, the information gathered has been the foundation of state and local historic preservation initiatives.

Cataloged in a GIS database known as Delaware’s Cultural and Historical Resources Information System, almost 45,000 individual properties have been recorded, including 19,587 in New Castle County, 9,604 in Kent County, and 15,107 in Sussex County. Between 2013 and 2017, 1,374 new properties were added to the database.

In Delaware, 706 nominations have been approved for listing in the National Register of Historic Places, which include just over 10,000 properties, and there are 14 designated National Historic Landmarks. Between 2013 and 2017, 15 new nominations were listed in the National Register, including 4 churches, 7 dwellings, a store, 2 agricultural complexes, and a commercial historic district.

Perhaps most significant among the state’s National Register listings are the historic districts located within Delaware communities and landscapes. With the multiple individual resources associated with these districts, a total of over 10,000 individual resources are listed in the National Register in Delaware. These include over 8,600 buildings, over 600 sites, close to 370 structures, and over 200 objects.

The largest numbers of surveyed historic resources are associated with the second half of the 19th century and first half of the 20th century. This is due not only to the rapid economic development and amount of building during those periods but also to the increased quality of construction, resulting in buildings that were more substantial and more adaptable to reuse over time.

As the mapping of Delaware’s surveyed resources illustrates, historic buildings and sites are spread throughout the state’s urban, suburban, agricultural, and natural landscapes and contribute substantially to community and landscape character. Resources are representative of all periods, themes, and contexts of Delaware history. Existing surveys do not necessarily include the associated landscape features and characteristics that contribute to the broader setting that is often significant to and links historic resources.
Biases and Gaps in Delaware’s Survey

Even in this small state, there are many areas which have not been comprehensively surveyed, particularly for archaeological sites and cultural landscape features. In fact, prior to 2006, there was no specific survey form for landscape features, and the earliest survey forms did not include any mention of the need to record the landscape. There are also places for which the survey data is significantly out of date. Such gaps in data are a recognized national concern, both for preservationists and for agencies that rely on historic property data to plan their projects.

Here are some specific types of properties that need attention in our state:

- **20th-century properties**
  - The 2000 census records indicate that there are 153,206 pre-1970 housing units in Delaware, which accounted for 45% of housing units at that time. Of these, 116,397 (34%) date from 1940-1969. While housing units are not always individual buildings, it can be considered a rough approximation of the age of residential properties. In the DE SHPO database, a total of 38,310 buildings, including both residential and commercial buildings, have been recorded.
  - While these 2 numbers measure different things, it can be seen that they are very different in scale, indicating that there are tens of thousands of mid-20th-century buildings that are not yet surveyed. This includes many pre-1970 sub-developments and commercial roadside developments.
  - Recent studies, for example DelDOT’s Route 113 study from Milford to Selbyville and the Eastern States Natural Gas pipeline study along Route 13 from Bridgeville to Delmar, have provided new information on roadside development in Sussex County. Near Ellendale, surveyors identified a row of houses built from Montgomery Ward kits in the 1930s, which resulted in a local news story on these types of buildings.
  - Cultural resource surveys done for such projects typically identify properties that are 50 years or older. By the end of the next planning period (2022), researchers would be looking at buildings built by 1972. Based on the 2000 census data, documenting these properties would require a level of survey that is impossible to meet with current resources. Some states have been exploring new approaches to addressing this dilemma, recognizing that most properties of the mid-20th c. are not likely to be significant individually.

- **Under-represented communities**
  - One of the challenges in working with the state’s inventory is that the survey, particularly from the earlier years of the program, may not have recorded an important historical link to specific cultural groups.
  - Properties identified in the database as associated with African-Americans historically are primarily churches, church-related properties, and schools. While a number of African-American communities/districts have been recorded, the individual properties within these districts are not always properly identified in the database. This gap in the data then affects analysis of information on the state’s African-American historic properties. Further research and survey of these communities is needed, to improve the quality of data, to serve as resource for the communities, and to help determine what other areas in the state should be studied for under-represented groups.
  - One of the challenges in working with the state’s inventory is that the survey, particularly from the earlier years of the program, may not have recorded an important historical link to specific cultural groups.
  - Properties identified in the database as associated with African-Americans historically are primarily churches, church-related properties, and schools. While a number of African-American communities/districts have been recorded, the individual properties within these districts are not always properly identified in the database. This gap in the data then affects analysis of information on the state’s African-American historic properties. Further research and survey of these communities is needed, to improve the quality of data, to serve as resource for the communities, and to help determine what other areas in the state should be studied for under-represented groups.
  - Historic churches, schools, and farms associated with Native Americans were identified for the Nanticoke Tribe in a thematic nomination listed in 1979. However a number of these resources have since been lost to development pressure, and more research could reveal connections with other historic properties in the Oak Orchard area. The area in and around Cheswold, the center of the Lenape Indian Tribe of Delaware’s population, was studied in several reports, but cultural affiliation of the properties surveyed has not been entered into the database.

20th-century properties

Under-represented communities
outreach to such groups to enhance their understanding of the historic value of their properties or to encourage their use of tax credits or other preservation tools to maintain them is needed.

Archaeological research has identified several sites associated with African-Americans and post-contact Native Americans, but that information has not been consistently entered into the database. Although Christian places of worship are well represented in the survey data, those of other faiths have not been extensively studied. Preservation of sacred places can pose unique challenges. As part of the “Preservation50” events (celebrating the 50th anniversary of the National Historic Preservation Act), the Quaker Hill Historic Preservation Foundation hosted a discussion on this topic in Wilmington, including an Open House for the public to draw interested community partners. The SHPO introduced the effort to update the preservation plan to this group. Such events could assist in adding information on historic places of worship, as well as providing information on rehabilitation and adaptive reuse.

Overall, a study of existing reports and data entry would substantially enhance our ready identification of historic properties related to under-represented groups. In addition, more documentary research into known historic properties in areas occupied by under-represented groups could reveal connections and geographic areas currently unknown.

There are many areas in Wilmington and other cities and towns throughout Delaware that are now home to African-American, Hispanic, or other ethnic populations, but are not historically reflected in the SHPO’s inventory. One reason is that the majority of new archaeological investigations were conducted for compliance with federal regulations. Despite these efforts, many areas of the state have not been examined for archaeological sites. Of particular concern in recent years have been those areas with high potential for sites that are threatened by accelerated erosion due to climate change and sea-level rise. Recently, archaeologists from West Chester University worked with the SHPO and DNREC to test areas in the Milford Neck Wildlife Area to help develop a model for potential sites.

Archaeological sites in Delaware were identified through the earlier work done by the archaeological societies and the SHPO’s predecessors in the 20th century. By the 1980s, however, the majority of new archaeological investigations were conducted for compliance with federal regulations. Despite these efforts, many areas of the state have not been examined for archaeological sites. Of particular concern in recent years have been those areas with high potential for sites that are threatened by accelerated erosion due to climate change and sea-level rise. Recently, archaeologists from West Chester University worked with the SHPO and DNREC to test areas in the Milford Neck Wildlife Area to help develop a model for potential sites.

In addition, economic improvement recently (see Chapter 3) is increasing the number of commercial and residential developments in farmland. While some of these areas are surveyed for family cemeteries prior to development, most of these lands are developed with no information on whether or not significant archaeological resources were present and therefore destroyed by construction. In contrast, the federal-state partnership in historic preservation requires federal projects to examine their construction areas for archaeological sites. Nearly 90 archaeological sites have been discovered and added to the inventory since 2013, most of them through the required surveys for federally funded or permitted projects. Underwater archaeological sites are hardly represented at all in the inventory. The Costs to discover and test submerged sites is one factor. Most surveys of submerged areas are undertaken to identify and avoid any potential sites. Targets located by sonar are rarely tested to confirm whether a target is actually a shipwreck or other type of site. Currently there are only 12 shipwreck sites inventoried in Delaware. Submerged pre-contact sites are even rarer. There is only one known pre-contact site found by borings in a marsh, although there are several sites that extend under adjacent marshes.

Losses of Historic Properties

There are no comprehensive figures on the numbers of Delaware historic resources lost over the course of time. The SHPO’s inventory data provides one measure. Nearly 1,400 properties in the inventory have been noted as demolished since the beginning of the program. Of these, 35 were identified as lost during the last planning period.

Archaeological sites in Delaware were identified through the earlier work done by the archaeological societies and the SHPO’s predecessors in the 20th century. By the 1980s, however, the majority of new archaeological investigations were conducted for compliance with federal regulations. Despite these efforts, many areas of the state have not been examined for archaeological sites. Of particular concern in recent years have been those areas with high potential for sites that are threatened by accelerated erosion due to climate change and sea-level rise. Recently, archaeologists from West Chester University worked with the SHPO and DNREC to test areas in the Milford Neck Wildlife Area to help develop a model for potential sites.

In addition, economic improvement recently (see Chapter 3) is increasing the number of commercial and residential developments in farmland. While some of these areas are surveyed for family cemeteries prior to development, most of these lands are developed with no information on whether or not significant archaeological resources were present and therefore destroyed by construction. In contrast, the federal-state partnership in historic preservation requires federal projects to examine their construction areas for archaeological sites. Nearly 90 archaeological sites have been discovered and added to the inventory since 2013, most of them through the required surveys for federally funded or permitted projects. Underwater archaeological sites are hardly represented at all in the inventory. The Costs to discover and test submerged sites is one factor. Most surveys of submerged areas are undertaken to identify and avoid any potential sites. Targets located by sonar are rarely tested to confirm whether a target is actually a shipwreck or other type of site. Currently there are only 12 shipwreck sites inventoried in Delaware. Submerged pre-contact sites are even rarer. There is only one known pre-contact site found by borings in a marsh, although there are several sites that extend under adjacent marshes.

Losses of Historic Properties

There are no comprehensive figures on the numbers of Delaware historic resources lost over the course of time. The SHPO’s inventory data provides one measure. Nearly 1,400 properties in the inventory have been noted as demolished since the beginning of the program. Of these, 35 were identified as lost during the last planning period.

Among the National Register-listed properties (including contributing buildings in historic districts), a total of 429 properties are known to have been demolished, with 7 individually listed properties and 7 properties within historic districts demolished in the last planning period. Among the most notable of the recent losses were the Durham-Shores House in Dupont Station, Hedgelawn near Middletown and the Jehu Reed House at Little Heaven.

There are many factors that can lead to demolition of a historic building, including a catastrophic event and neglect due to economic hardship. However, in some cases losses are direct result of planning and land use decisions at the local level.
Preservation success rarely seem to attract the same attention as the losses. Threats can certainly serve as a call to action to address a specific situation, but planned, sustained advocacy at the property or policy level is also necessary.

During the last planning period preservationists made progress in achieving goals outlined in the 2013-2017 plan. Examples are cited throughout this document, and Appendix II contains a summary of this body of work.

More effort should be made to celebrate such successes. For example, the SHPO’s annual accomplishments report highlights the impact of historic preservation tax credit incentive programs.

Expanding outreach on this and other positive preservation work is needed.

3. ECONOMIC, DEMOGRAPHIC, DEVELOPMENT AND ENVIRONMENTAL TRENDS

Economic and demographic trends in Delaware are directly related to the amount of new development that is occurring in the state as well as to where the development is located. This in turn determines the impacts on existing historic resources and the strategies necessary for their preservation.

The 2013-2017 Historic Preservation Plan included a review of economic and demographic factors that impacted historic preservation between 2008 and 2012. Key factors included:

- The economic downturn of 2008 resulted in a suspension of construction starts leading to a reduction in the development of historic properties. This led to a reduction in the demolition of historic buildings and loss of archeological sites to new development. The economic downturn probably also led to reduced investment in the rehabilitation of historic properties.
- The recession exacerbated a trend in decreasing levels of governmental funding for historic preservation at all levels of government. At the federal level, funding to State Historic Preservation Offices through the Historic Preservation Fund remained flat while operating costs rose. Several important preservation-related programs were effectively defunded, including Preserve America, Save America’s Treasures, and the Scenic Byways Program. Save America’s Treasures has received some renewed funding in fiscal years 2017 and 2018.
- Despite the downturn, Delaware’s population continued to grow at a faster than predicted rate. Developers seemed to change their focus from single family residential to apartments and large commercial developments. Heavier development was expected in Sussex County and in southern New Castle County along the Route 301 corridor, resulting in the potential loss of archeological sites and contexts of rural historic properties.
- The economic downturn also negatively impacted human resources in the preservation community at the state and county levels. At the state level, periodic restrictions on hiring and lower funding resulted in delays in filling vacant staff positions and the loss of staff positions. At the county level, dedicated preservation planning positions were lost.

While Delaware’s economy has rebounded along with the recovery nationwide, the trends outlined in the 2013-2017 Preservation Plan continued to be of concern. As of 2015, new development had not returned to pre-recession levels, giving county and municipal planners breathing room to consider planning priorities and approaches, such as the revision of comprehensive plans.

Funding and staffing levels for historic preservation planning and planning in general have not increased. The state faced a significant structural budget shortfall for the 2018 fiscal year, which is being addressed through a mix of budget reductions and new revenues.

Despite the continued relatively lower pace of new development, Delaware’s population continues to grow. The continued influx of seniors retiring to the state has led to growth in the beach communities and adjacent areas in Sussex County as well as in other locations. Continued population growth has been viewed as an indicator of the strength of Delaware’s economy overall. (See discussion of Demographic Trends later in this chapter).
Delaware’s Economy

Delaware’s economy follows national trends but tends to perform better than the nation as a whole. Since the 2008 recession, Delaware’s economy has been on a slow but steady recovery. As measured by unemployment, Delaware’s unemployment rate in December 2016 had dropped to 4.3% from a rate of 5.8% in April 2014. In contrast, the national unemployment rate in December 2016 was 4.7%.

Delaware benefits from being within the Greater Philadelphia Metropolitan Region, which has fared better than other portions of the adjacent states due to the diversity of the regional economy. The former State Delaware Economic Development Office (DEDO) identified six core business sectors important to Delaware’s economy, each of which includes groupings of complementary industries that together are of particular strength in the state. [NOTE: DURING THE COURSE OF PREPARING THIS PLAN DEDO WAS ESSENTIALLY DISSOLVED AS A DEPARTMENT, AS THE STATE OF DELAWARE REINVENTS ITS APPROACH TO ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT. THE NEW DIVISION OF SMALL BUSINESS, WITHIN THE DEPARTMENT OF STATE, IS RESPONSIBLE FOR CARRYING CERTAIN FORMER DEDO ROLES].

Throughout most of the twentieth century, Delaware’s three leading business sectors were Chemicals and Advanced Materials, Agribusiness, and Manufacturing (especially automobile manufacturing). In recent decades, Manufacturing has declined severely; a national trend that has hit hard in the Midwest and Northeast, including adjacent states such as Pennsylvania. Job losses in Manufacturing represent 44% of all jobs lost in Delaware over the past decade. Automobile manufacturing, once a key industry in Delaware, has disappeared from the state entirely.

Both Chemical and Advanced Materials and Agribusiness experienced significant decline during and immediately following the 2008 recession and have been slow to recover. The vital role of Manufacturing in Delaware’s economy has been replaced in recent years by dramatic growth in the Business and Financial Services sector. Delaware’s historic reputation as a corporate haven with low corporate taxes and business-friendly corporation laws has favored business growth. Passage of the Financial Center Development Act in 1981 paved the way for significant new growth in banking services. Delaware is now home to 2,000 finance companies, including some of the nation’s largest financial services, banking, and insurance companies that employ more than 36,000 people. Most of these businesses are located in New Castle County, outside of Wilmington.

Other sectors, once relatively minor players, are now major contributors to Delaware’s economy, including Healthcare and Life Sciences and Leisure and Hospitality. The majority of the state’s job growth in recent years has occurred in the sectors of Education and Healthcare, Hospitality, and Government.

The six core business sectors cited by the Delaware Economic Development Office are listed below along with the percentage of their performance in relation to both employment and the amount of payroll as a proportion of their combined total.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Business Sector</th>
<th>Employment</th>
<th>Payroll</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Business and Financial Services</td>
<td>39%</td>
<td>58%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare and Life Sciences</td>
<td>29%</td>
<td>29%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leisure and Hospitality</td>
<td>24%</td>
<td>8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemicals and Advanced Material</td>
<td>2%</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agribusiness</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aerospace and Aviation</td>
<td>1%</td>
<td>1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Of note is that the Business and Financial Services sector has both the highest percentage of employment in the state as well as a substantially higher payroll contributing to the state’s economic vitality.

The Economy and Historic Preservation

With particular focus on its six core business sectors, Delaware has prepared a comprehensive economic development strategy that outlines statewide strategies and goals. An annual report is prepared each year discussing progress made in each area.

Two goals identified in the state’s economic development strategy are directly related to historic preservation and community character:

- Preserve critical state assets supporting economic development while enhancing the state’s quality of life. (Strategy 1, Goal III)
- Enhance Delaware as a visitor destination and expand the economic impact of tourism. (Strategy 2, Goal III)

Quality of life is a key factor in maintaining and attracting both businesses and a talented workforce, as explicitly stated in both state and county economic development strategies. The state’s 2016 annual report on the economy specifically cites the importance of community development programs that relate to historic preservation and community character. Other state programs contribute to the quality of life as it relates to economic strategy as well.

Delaware’s historic preservation program needs to fully establish its interests and values within these related programs.

The decline of Delaware’s manufacturing sector has had particular ramifications for historic preservation both in terms of the historic manufacturing sites that are now abandoned and possible for reuse and in the decline in the communities to which they were related. Additionally, environmental legacy issues related to contamination and cleanup affect these areas.

As an important component of the Leisure and Hospitality sector, tourism is among Delaware’s core business areas and is generally assessed through its impact on accommodations and food services.

Heritage tourism is an important component of travel and is closely related to Delaware’s quality of life. Visitors to Delaware seek the authentic experiences provided by historic sites and attractions as well as within Delaware’s historic communities and cultural landscapes. Historic communities are not only attractions in and of themselves, they are also where the most desirable restaurants, lodging, and shops are located.

“Delaware’s historic landscapes, communities, and attractions are vital to the state’s quality of life and visitor destination economic development strategies.”

Agribusiness is among the state’s six core businesses. The state ranks first nationally in the value of agricultural products sold per farm and in production per acre of land in farms. The poultry industry in particular is a growing facet of Delaware’s agribusiness. Agriculture is dominant in more rural Kent and Sussex Counties.

A healthy agricultural economy is important in preserving the rural agricultural landscape and its associated historic landscape, buildings, and archeological resources. Delaware’s economic strategy focuses on continuing to support and strengthen the Agri-business sector, which should also be a focus of the historic preservation program.
**Demographic Trends and Land Development**

Demographic trends in Delaware directly impact the levels of land development in the state’s three counties and the types of historic resources that affected by that development. The preservation approach and strategies presented in Chapters 6 and 7 of this plan are tailored to the trends outlined below for New Castle, Kent, and Sussex Counties.

Between 2002 and 2012, Delaware experienced population growth of 13.6%, higher than other states within the region. Between 2010 and 2016, population growth increased 6.0%.

Delaware’s racial make-up in July 2015 is broken down in the chart below. As can be seen, the state’s population is predominantly White, with the largest percentage of White population in rural Sussex County. African Americans make up larger proportions of the population in Delaware’s urban communities, both in Wilmington, as further noted below, as well as in historic urban centers in Sussex and Kent Counties. A number of these urban centers are economically distressed and are the focus of revitalization efforts impacting historic neighborhoods and resources.

There are two State-recognized American Indian communities in Delaware, the Nanticoke Indian Tribe centered in the Millsboro area of Sussex County and the Lenape Indian Tribe of Delaware centered in the Cheswold area of Kent County. For the 2010 Census, a “State Designated Tribal Statistical Area” (SDSTA) was established for each tribe. A SDSTA delineates where residences of tribal members are concentrated, as well as encompassing properties of importance to the tribe.

**Statewide NC Kent Sussex**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>White</th>
<th>70.4%</th>
<th>66.6%</th>
<th>72.1%</th>
<th>82.5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>African American</td>
<td>22.4%</td>
<td>25.1%</td>
<td>25.5%</td>
<td>12.8%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>9.0%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>9.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian</td>
<td>3.9%</td>
<td>5.4%</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
<td>1.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Two or More Races</td>
<td>2.5%</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>3.5%</td>
<td>2.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>American Indian</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>0.4%</td>
<td>0.7%</td>
<td>1.2%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note:** the percentages add up to more than 100% because the US Census allows respondents to report multiple races.

Delaware’s population is growing older. By 2014, approximately 14.4% of Delaware’s population was 65 years old or older, and there had been a 67% population growth in the 55-plus category in the previous twenty years, more than 50% faster than the national average. By July 2015, the population 65 years and older had increased to 17.0%. Rural areas had older populations than more developed areas. The older population in Sussex County was 24.0% while Kent County was 16.0% and New Castle was 14.2%. The City of Wilmington had an 11.6% older population in 2010.

Unlike many urban areas that have experienced decline in Manufacturing, Delaware’s growing senior population is due to an influx of new residents attracted by the state’s high quality of life and relatively low cost of living. Retirees benefit from the lack of sales and property taxes in Delaware and are less affected by the state’s relatively high individual income tax. These new residents add to the economic vitality of the state, spending on goods and services and investing themselves in local communities.

**Historic preservation and community character contribute to the sense of place valued by these older residents.**

The Delaware Office of State Planning Coordination’s 2015 Delaware Strategies for State Policies and Spending recognizes the economic and demographic trends noted above and that Delaware’s population will continue to grow in coming years. Through planning and programs, the state seeks to influence the form and location of new development, favoring concentration of new growth in areas with appropriate infrastructure and discouraging development across the broader landscape.

New Castle County has the largest population in the state (58.5%) and has had the lowest percentage of population growth since 2010 (3.6%). New Castle County, bridging the divide between the Piedmont Uplands and Coastal Plain, is the historic home of Delaware’s chemical and manufacturing sectors. It is also the location of the economically active Route 195 transportation corridor.

New Castle County leads the state in total employment (70.5%) and annual payroll (79.4%). The county’s historic manufacturing base has been replaced by a robust financial services sector, which has the highest annual payroll in the state by far. The county’s health sector has grown dramatically as well, perhaps an indicator of the desirability of Delaware for retirees. The health sector now leads the county in number of people employed.

The county’s health sector has grown dramatically as well, perhaps an indicator of the desirability of Delaware for retirees. The health sector now leads the county in number of people employed.

New Castle County’s affluence drives interest in the county as a good place to live. Quality of life is recognized as important in attracting and keeping a professional workforce as well as affluent retirees.

New Castle County leads the state in new development activity. Between 2010 and 2015, the county had 53% of the approved applications for residential development within the state. However, new applications decreased dramatically after 2012.

In contrast, building permits making use of those approvals have increased since 2012. Since 2010 building permits in New Castle County have accounted for 30% of permits statewide. Because of the number and range of types of historic resources in New Castle County, ongoing new development is an important issue in its impact on historic resources countywide.

Delaware’s population is growing older. By 2014, approximately 14.4% of Delaware’s population was 65 years old or older, and there had been a 67% population growth in the 55-plus category in the previous twenty years, more than 50% faster than the national average. By July 2015, the population 65 years and older had increased to 17.0%. Rural areas had older populations than more developed areas. The older population in Sussex County was 24.0% while Kent County was 16.0% and New Castle was 14.2%. The City of Wilmington had an 11.6% older population in 2010.

Unlike many urban areas that have experienced decline in Manufacturing, Delaware’s growing senior population is due to an influx of new residents attracted by the state’s high quality of life and relatively low cost of living. Retirees benefit from the lack of sales and property taxes in Delaware and are less affected by the state’s relatively high individual income tax. These new residents add to the economic vitality of the state, spending on goods and services and investing themselves in local communities.

**Historic preservation and community character contribute to the sense of place valued by these older residents.**

The Delaware Office of State Planning Coordination’s 2015 Delaware Strategies for State Policies and Spending recognizes the economic and demographic trends noted above and that Delaware’s population will continue to grow in coming years. Through planning and programs, the state seeks to influence the form and location of new development, favoring concentration of new growth in areas with appropriate infrastructure and discouraging development across the broader landscape.

New Castle County has the largest population in the state (58.5%) and has had the lowest percentage of population growth since 2010 (3.6%). New Castle County, bridging the divide between the Piedmont Uplands and Coastal Plain, is the historic home of Delaware’s chemical and manufacturing sectors. It is also the location of the economically active Route 195 transportation corridor.

New Castle County leads the state in total employment (70.5%) and annual payroll (79.4%). The county’s historic manufacturing base has been replaced by a robust financial services sector, which has the highest annual payroll in the state by far. The county’s health sector has grown dramatically as well, perhaps an indicator of the desirability of Delaware for retirees. The health sector now leads the county in number of people employed.

New Castle County’s affluence drives interest in the county as a good place to live. Quality of life is recognized as important in attracting and keeping a professional workforce as well as affluent retirees.

New Castle County leads the state in new development activity. Between 2010 and 2015, the county had 53% of the approved applications for residential development within the state. However, new applications decreased dramatically after 2012.

In contrast, building permits making use of those approvals have increased since 2012. Since 2010 building permits in New Castle County have accounted for 30% of permits statewide. Because of the number and range of types of historic resources in New Castle County, ongoing new development is an important issue in its impact on historic resources countywide.

**New Castle County**

Delaware’s population is growing older. By 2014, approximately 14.4% of Delaware’s population was 65 years old or older, and there had been a 67% population growth in the 55-plus category in the previous twenty years, more than 50% faster than the national average. By July 2015, the population 65 years and older had increased to 17.0%. Rural areas had older populations than more developed areas. The older population in Sussex County was 24.0% while Kent County was 16.0% and New Castle was 14.2%. The City of Wilmington had an 11.6% older population in 2010.

Unlike many urban areas that have experienced decline in Manufacturing, Delaware’s growing senior population is due to an influx of new residents attracted by the state’s high quality of life and relatively low cost of living. Retirees benefit from the lack of sales and property taxes in Delaware and are less affected by the state’s relatively high individual income tax. These new residents add to the economic vitality of the state, spending on goods and services and investing themselves in local communities.

**Historic preservation and community character contribute to the sense of place valued by these older residents.**

The Delaware Office of State Planning Coordination’s 2015 Delaware Strategies for State Policies and Spending recognizes the economic and demographic trends noted above and that Delaware’s population will continue to grow in coming years. Through planning and programs, the state seeks to influence the form and location of new development, favoring concentration of new growth in areas with appropriate infrastructure and discouraging development across the broader landscape.

New Castle County has the largest population in the state (58.5%) and has had the lowest percentage of population growth since 2010 (3.6%). New Castle County, bridging the divide between the Piedmont Uplands and Coastal Plain, is the historic home of Delaware’s chemical and manufacturing sectors. It is also the location of the economically active Route 195 transportation corridor.

New Castle County leads the state in total employment (70.5%) and annual payroll (79.4%). The county’s historic manufacturing base has been replaced by a robust financial services sector, which has the highest annual payroll in the state by far. The county’s health sector has grown dramatically as well, perhaps an indicator of the desirability of Delaware for retirees. The health sector now leads the county in number of people employed.

New Castle County’s affluence drives interest in the county as a good place to live. Quality of life is recognized as important in attracting and keeping a professional workforce as well as affluent retirees.

New Castle County leads the state in new development activity. Between 2010 and 2015, the county had 53% of the approved applications for residential development within the state. However, new applications decreased dramatically after 2012.

In contrast, building permits making use of those approvals have increased since 2012. Since 2010 building permits in New Castle County have accounted for 30% of permits statewide. Because of the number and range of types of historic resources in New Castle County, ongoing new development is an important issue in its impact on historic resources countywide.
The City of Wilmington, unlike most other communities in the state, is subject to serious urban stress. The decline of manufacturing over the past fifty years has had a devastating impact upon the city, similar to the experiences of other industrial centers of the Northeast and Midwest.

Today, Wilmington’s population is predominantly minority – 58% African-American and 12.4% Hispanic, both the highest percentages for any community in the state. Overall, the city’s population has declined between 2000 and 2013. Between 2010 and 2015, however, the city’s population increased by 13%, a positive trend, though the lowest in the state. Wilmington has a low median household income that is divided along racial and geographic lines. The city’s African-American population has a 30% poverty rate, 16.4% unemployment rate, and only 12% are college educated.31

Employment in Wilmington is led by the finance/insurance and professional/technical sectors (39%), two of the highest paying sectors in the economy. Yet only 15% of city jobs are held by city residents; 73% of employed city residents work outside of the city.32

Since 2010, Wilmington has had a low number of new residential development applications, as might be expected of a community with a large existing housing stock. Building permits have increased since 2013.33 The city has a large number of historic residential neighborhoods from all periods of the state’s development. Many, however, are in need of revitalization.

Wilmington has long experience in historic preservation and manages twelve designated historic districts. A recent Downtown Development District initiative is intended to have a transformative effect on the city center, including adjacent historic neighborhoods.

Kent County has 18.3% of Delaware’s population and has grown 6.9% since 2010, median for the state. The state capital of Dover is located in the heart of the county and generates much of the county’s development activity.

State government is the largest employer in Kent County, which provides a stable base but is not considered a growth sector. Government accounts for 29.1% of the county employment. The county’s total employment accounts for 12.6% of statewide employment but only 9.1% of the state’s annual payroll.34

In part this discrepancy in employment and payroll is due to the county’s agricultural sector, which is strong but which has low pay rates. Kent County is characterized as largely agricultural land dotted with historic communities of varying size, the largest of which is Dover. The county includes 34% of Delaware’s agricultural lands and has a strong agricultural land preservation program that has made creative use of transfer of development rights as well as state and federal incentives.

Most of the non-marsh land east of the Route 1/Route 13 corridor in Kent County has been preserved in agricultural use. West of the corridor, widespread suburban development has occurred within the agricultural landscape around Dover.

Kent County has seen a modest number of new residential development applications since 2010, with a pronounced uptick in 2015. Most building permit activity has been in the county at large, as well as in Dover and Smyrna.35

Sussex County is also largely agricultural with historic communities located at strategic spots, usually at the navigable heads of rivers. Sussex County has 22.6% of Delaware’s population and since 2010 has grown faster than any other area in the state, 9.4%.

The county’s population is 82.5% White, the highest in the state, and its African-American population is 12.8%, the lowest. Agriculture and tourism are the county’s largest business sectors. The county includes 54% of the state’s agricultural land and 72% of the state’s agricultural products.36 Total employment is 16.1% of that in the state, while annual payroll is lower at 10.6%, attributable to relatively lower paying agricultural and tourism jobs.

Sussex County’s continued growth is largely due to the influx of retirees to the beach communities along its southeastern shoreline. These beach communities are also the focus of its tourism industry.

In general, historic communities located along the eastern side of the county in proximity to the ocean, aside from the beach communities, attract development popular with retirees, are relatively affluent, and have been well-preserved, while communities along the western side have struggled economically.

This is evident in the pace of building permits that have been issued since 2010. However, much of the new development that has occurred (31%) has been outside of historic communities, a significant amount of which has been located west of the Inland Bays and along the Route 1 corridor.37 About 58% of this development is taking place in areas in which the state is discouraging new development, primarily agricultural lands.38
ENVIRONMENTAL TRENDS

Climate change is predicted to lead to increasingly rapid sea-level rise, more powerful and more frequent storm events, and more frequent and higher flooding. Delaware has been fortunate in its place in the Mid-Atlantic. Its tucked-in position has meant that hurricanes usually pass further out to sea, but even brushes by tropical storms may lead to record rainfalls and flood events. Hurricane Floyd in 1999 and Tropical Storm Henri in 2003 flooded many areas in New Castle County, and Hurricane Sandy in 2012 produced serious flooding along the coast and river systems throughout Delaware.39

Other kinds of storms, such as northeasters, also have caused significant damage and flooding in the past. Because northeasters are primarily a winter event, they can also lead to deadly cold, crippling snow falls, and power outages; most recently, the January 2016 Blizzard led to flooding that in areas was worse than Sandy and one death in Delaware.41 Such storms could have a disproportionate effect on historic properties because many of Delaware’s historic towns developed at landing sites and in coastal areas where the connection with the water was critical to their economies. These locations were also highly important to Native Americans, so numbers of archaeological sites from both prehistory and history could be affected by flooding and increased erosion. Because mills were established historically on streams all across Delaware, they are among the historic buildings that are most at risk of flood damage. The dams associated with them may fail in a storm event, leading to greater flooding downstream. Historic bridges are also at exceptional risk in these environments.

 Hurricanes: The last major hurricane to make a direct hit through Delaware was the Great October Gale of 1876, which washed out dikes and inundated lands all along the Delaware River and Bay. It had a surge wave estimated by the Delaware Geological Survey as ten feet or more when it hit the Delaware River shore from Collins Beach to Woodland Beach, wrecking the bayshore resorts. While no lives were lost in Delaware, eight died on the New Jersey side and another eight in Philadelphia, with more than 700 buildings destroyed there.42

 Nor'easters: The storm of 1962, known as the Ash Wednesday Storm, savaged the U.S. shoreline from Cape Hatteras to Long Island, and demolished Delaware’s Atlantic beach communities. The damage was compounded by five exceptionally high tides in a row during the storm. The 1962 Storm: Delaware’s Shared Response, is available on DVD through any Delaware public library.

Other kinds of historic properties further inland can still be adversely affected by major rainfall and wind events. Older cemeteries often have large trees in them, and tree or limb falls can cause major damage to headstones and fencing.43

To fulfill a priority objective of its Strategic Plan and the 2013-2017 State’s Historic Preservation Plan, HCA studied the feasibility of establishing a new program to identify and recover information from threatened historic resources (buildings, structures, archaeological sites and landscapes). The study concluded that emergency response and prevention/conservation should be two complementary tracks of action for a threatened resource program. The study identified recommendations for how HCA could approach each area (Griffith Archaeology Consulting, 2019). This study and options for implementation should be revisited in the next planning cycle.

As part of that effort, it will be important to look at options for historic properties that are especially at risk from increased storms and flooding. It is also important for preservationists to be part of the conversation about approaches to resiliency, mitigation, and protection proposed by local and state governments. DNREC’s Climate Action in Delaware: 2016 Progress Report provides an overview of how climate change may affect the state, and discussion of efforts to address risks.44

Certain kinds of actions may be very damaging to historic properties. For example, raising historic buildings above their normal height changes their relationship to their setting, and may lead to them being determined ineligible for the National Register or losing their National Register status. Proposals to re-create freshwater marshes inland to enhance that habitat, now being threatened by salt-water intrusion, may destroy significant historic agricultural landscapes and archaeological sites.

It is important to remember that regional differences in historic building construction were based on climate. Many of Delaware’s historic buildings and structures were constructed when winters were substantially colder, especially during the nineteenth century. Threats to them may be more evident from increasing heat and humidity, leading to greater incidents of mold. Even with warming, there will be very cold periods that can lead to freeze-thaw cycles that threaten spalling of brick and stone buildings, grave markers, bridge foundations, and other types of masonry. So storm disasters, with warming ocean temperatures and rising sea levels, plus greater humidity and more and longer heat events, are predicted to be even more of a factor in Delaware’s future. Several Delaware communities, including Lewes, Fenwick Island, South Bethany, Frederica, Little Creek, Lepis, and Milford, have already incorporated climate change issues or are adding sections on climate change to their comprehensive plans. Most of these communities have collections of historic buildings and structures that contribute to the locale’s character, and are looking for ways to improve their resiliency.45

Interestingly, only about 20% of the respondents to the plan survey rated climate change and sea level rise as an important threat to historic properties; respondents were more concerned about neglect and development as the major threats. The challenge for preservationists, communities, and environmental planners, then, will be to find a balance between the needs of the community or ecosystem, the concerns of its citizens, and the appropriate treatment or protection of historic properties. As part of the process of preparing for hazards and building resilient communities, SHPO staff are now part of the planning team for DEMA’s All-Hazards Mitigation Plan and are talking with DNREC’s Division of Climate and Energy about their programs. In addition, preservationists should carefully consider the National Park Service Cultural Resources Climate Change Strategy and see where its goals and actions intersect with Delaware’s particular landscape, property types, and preservation needs.46
PRESERVATION PARTNERS AND PROGRAMS

The purpose of this chapter is to identify agencies, organizations, and other groups that are – or could be – partners in achieving historic preservation goals in Delaware.

The emphasis of this plan is to reach beyond the typical list of those often actively involved in historic preservation, and connect with all entities that impact historic properties and landscapes. In many cases, all that may be needed is better communication and coordination of activities that potential partners are already doing. In other cases, starting a dialogue to find common ground may be necessary.

The range of Delaware’s preservation structure and programming is briefly outlined below. The list presented here is not intended to be exhaustive or exclusive, but rather offered as a starting point.

This discussion includes many governmental agencies. This does not mean that the intent of plan is for the majority of preservation work to be carried out by government. Participation and advocacy by non-profits, citizen groups and individuals are essential for the success of this plan. The specific means through which these entities may contribute to the State Historic Preservation Plan are noted in Chapter 7, which outlines proposed goals, strategies and actions for the next 5 years.

GOVERNMENTAL AGENCIES, BOARDS AND COMMISSIONS

Beyond the traditional preservation community, a variety of agencies at all levels of government manage programs that can affect historic resources and should be considered an important part of the statewide preservation plan. The work of these agencies is often informed by boards and commissions.

County and Municipal Planning

Most historic preservation plays out at the local level. County and municipal planning offices are essential partners in the structure of Delaware’s preservation community. Appointed and volunteer boards and commissions play key roles in local government as well.

Planning offices in New Castle, Kent, and Sussex counties prepare county comprehensive plans and implement land development and growth management strategies in counties, outside of municipal boundaries. Planners in each county have a leading role in the identification and preservation of historic resources in growth management processes. Each county has its own regulatory approach to historic preservation, though limited staffing creates challenges in their implementation.

All three of Delaware’s counties are active in the conservation of agricultural and natural lands. Strong land conservation programs at the county level are important in the preservation of historic building, landscape, and archaeological resources.

Most municipalities have some degree of local advocacy with respect to historic preservation. In some cases, local historic districts have been created with preservation overlay zones and/or ordinances. These include the six municipalities (Delaware City, Dover, Lewes, Milton, New Castle, and Wilmington) and one county (New Castle) currently participating in the Certified Local Government program managed by the SHPO.

CLG’s can apply for funding for local surveys, documentation, and other needs from the federal Historic Preservation Fund, administered by the SHPO. CLGs also provide the first review of nominations for the National Register within their jurisdictions and must approve them before they can be presented to the State Review Board for Historic Preservation.

Six communities have accredited Main Street programs, and another ten are Commercial District Affiliates. In other cases, historical commissions, advisory boards, ad hoc private groups, and individual residents provide important input to local governments on historic preservation issues. The professionalism, capacity, and effectiveness of these local volunteer entities varies from community to community.
State Agencies and Programs

Three of Delaware’s state agencies—the Division of Historical and Cultural Affairs including the SHPO, the Department of Transportation, and Delaware State Parks—have preservation professionals on staff to address issues with respect to historic resources under their agency’s purview. These professionals constitute a core group that presently communicates across agency lines in support of each other and historic preservation practices statewide. Continued communication and coordination is important to the preservation plan.

However, as discussed below, a number of other state agencies manage programs that impact Delaware’s historic resources. Most of the agencies and programs listed below are referenced as suggested partners in carrying or supporting historic preservation goals and strategies outlined in this plan.

Delaware State Historic Preservation Office

The Delaware State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) is the foundation for historic preservation programming in the state. Part of the Division of Historical and Cultural Affairs, the SHPO manages federally funded historic preservation programs and connects state government to the federal level through the National Park Service.

Key programs managed by the SHPO include the state historic resources inventory, National Register of Historic Places, Certified Local Government program, state and federal historic preservation tax credit programs, technical assistance to communities and partners, and environmental reviews for federally-funded, permitted, and licensed projects, as well as technical assistance reviews of development projects through the Preliminary Land Use Service. The SHPO also assists the Department of State in carrying out its responsibilities under state law managing the state’s boundary monuments, protecting archaeological sites on state lands, discoveries of unmarked burials. (See the SHPO webpage for more information on these programs).

Each year, the SHPO prepares an overview of activities and accomplishments, which is made available on the office’s website. The overview summarizes the status of the SHPO’s various programs.

Delaware’s historic resource inventory is an important resource for all of the state’s preservation partners and stakeholders. The inventory is available through the Cultural and Historic Resources Information System (CHRIS), a web-based geographic information mapping system. Some data in CHRIS are considered sensitive and are password-protected. Recent work to digitize survey information, map historic resource locations into CHRIS, and the addition of new layers will greatly enhance the kinds of information available online.


- 706 nominations listed in the National Register of Historic Places
- 14 properties recognized as National Historic Landmarks
- 208 historic properties rehabbed through the State Historic Preservation Tax Credit program, leveraging over $277 million in investment (as of SFY2017)
- 7 communities participating in the Certified Local Government Program
- 18,600+ reviews of projects, plans and ordinances for effects on historic properties

Preservation planning is also a key function of this office. For several years, the SHPO has convened preservation professionals from state and local agencies and other statewide partners as a working group to discuss preservation issues. Creation of the Historic Preservation Plan Working Group was a proposed action outlined in the 2013-2017 plan, and the group has met periodically to review progress in implementation of the plan.

In 2015, the division prepared a comprehensive Strategic Plan to define key objectives for a 5-year period. These objectives fall under the following goals:

- Improving access to our collections, research, and historic places
- Creating and supporting an innovative learning environment
- Enhancing the preservation and management of our historic properties and collections, and will share our knowledge, experience, and resources to inspire others to value and preserve their cultural assets
- Engaging audiences to strengthen our connections with Delaware residents, organizations, and visitors
- Achieving excellence by developing a culture of collaboration and respect to optimize our management of organizational resources

The SHPO is a part of the Division of Historical and Cultural Affairs (HCA), the state’s history organization. HCA’s staff members are leaders in research, collections management, and stewardship related to Delaware history and historic places. Their work is an important means through which residents are encouraged to appreciate the places in which they live.

In addition to the SHPO, HCA also includes 5 other teams that work to “Save Delaware History,” operating 5 museums and a conference center, managing the states historic and archaeological collections, maintaining the division’s own historic properties, enhancing the horticultural features of those properties, and providing fiscal oversight of the agency’s operations.

The division employs a Cultural Asset Management Program to assist in prioritizing projects for its properties, working with OMB’s Facilities Management and consulting firms. HCA also works with a number of affiliates and partners to operate other historic sites, develop exhibits, and interpret Delaware history.

HCA recently achieved an important milestone, accreditation by the American Alliance of Museums’ of Delaware’s state-museum system. This is the highest recognition afforded to museums in the United States.

The SHPO contributes to the development and implementation of HCA’s Strategic Plan. Elements within this historic preservation plan mirror or complement many of the overall objectives of the division. Likewise, HCA’s teams may be key partners in carrying out actions defined in this plan.

Fig. 19. 21 The Green, headquarters of the Division of Historical and Cultural Affairs and State Historic Preservation Office.
Delaware State Review Board for Historic Preservation

The Delaware State Review Board for Historic Preservation (State Review Board) is the advisory body to the State Historic Preservation Officer. The Federal-State partnership program for historic preservation requires that each state maintain a qualified State Review Board to serve in this capacity.

Board members must have competence, interest, or knowledge in historic preservation. The majority, but not all, of the members must meet minimum professional requirements and represent certain professional disciplines in history, archaeology, and architecture.

The State Review Board has two main functions:

- The Board evaluates the historic significance of properties, hearing all nominations of properties to the National Register of Historic Places to determine if a property meets the criteria for listing. The Board advises the State Historic Preservation Officer of its recommendations.

- The Board advises the State Historic Preservation Officer of its recommendations.

Delaware Department of Agriculture

The Delaware Department of Agriculture (DDA) is a key agency in support of the agricultural industry. A strong agricultural business sector helps preserve the state’s agricultural landscape which often includes associated historic and archaeological resources. The Department of Agriculture administers an active agricultural land preservation program through its Planning Section. The program has two major components, Agricultural Preservation Districts and Agricultural Conservation Easements.

Agricultural Preservation Districts are created through voluntary agreements with farm owners in which they agree to continue using their land for agriculture for a minimum of ten years. Agricultural Conservation Easements involve the purchase of development rights by the state Aglands Foundation, permanently preserving the land in agricultural use. Participation by a farm in a Preservation District is a preliminary step to being selected for the purchase of easements. The amount of money available each year for the purchase of agricultural easements varies depending upon the state budget, which in recent years has been lean.

All three counties have been strong partners with the DDA in the Agricultural Lands Preservation Program. New Castle and Kent Counties also administer their own independent the transfer of development rights (TDR) programs, which have also helped preserve some farmland.

In the past, the easement program included the documentation of historic farms receiving easements. The documentation was undertaken by UDCHAD with DDA funding and included an end-of-year report summarizing its findings. This documentation has contributed to the body of knowledge about historic Delaware farms, but it is no longer being undertaken due to funding.

DDA also manages a Century Farm Program honoring Delaware families who have farmed the same land for one hundred years or longer. This program helps recognize the presence, character, and use of historic farms.

DDA supports the preparation of county comprehensive plans with strong agricultural components and provides technical planning assistance to counties and municipalities when possible. The department participates with OSPC and SHPO in the review of large-scale development proposals through the PLUS program.

Delaware Economic Development Office

Up until 2017, the Delaware Economic Development Office (DEDO) was the state’s leading economic development organization and, formerly housed within the Governor’s office. The agency is being restructured as a public-private partnership, as the State of Delaware reinvents its approach to economic development. The new Division of Small Business, within the Department of State, is responsible for carrying out certain former DEDO roles.

The latest Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy for the state was prepared by DEDO in 2014. The document describes the core business sectors important to the state’s economic health; reviews strengths and weaknesses in Delaware’s economy; and outlines a vision, goals, and objectives to be implemented over the life of the plan.
Delaware’s Comprehensive Economic Development Strategy is an important document, and this historic preservation plan seeks to identify the interaction between the state’s economic policies and historic communities, landscapes, and resources.

Perhaps most importantly, the economic strategy recognizes that many of Delaware’s businesses, professionals, and skilled workers choose to be in the state because of its quality of life, including the character of its communities and its natural and historic landscapes. Historic preservation and cultural landscape values and practices contribute significantly to Delaware’s quality of life and support the state economic strategy.

Department of Education

The Department of Education plays a vital role in public history through its curriculum and state learning standards for students. Most museums, historic sites, and interpretive venues craft their presentations in accordance with the state’s learning standards to make it possible for teachers and students to use their sites and stories for place-based educational experiences.

The importance of reaching out to Delaware’s students is underscored in the state preservation plan. Providing an appreciation of history; civics; and the relationships of historic resources to community, landscape, and natural resources are among the educational goals of the plan. Working closely with educators is essential to fulfilling the preservation plan’s educational mission.

SHPO recently engaged with this Department concerning historic school buildings still in use. Further collaboration is planned.

Delaware Emergency Management Agency

The Delaware Emergency Management Agency (DEMA) is “the lead state agency for coordination of comprehensive emergency preparedness, training, response, recovery and mitigation services in order to save lives, protect Delaware’s economic base and reduce the impact of emergencies.”

Among other responsibilities, DEMA is the lead agency charged with producing the statewide hazard mitigation plan required by the Federal Emergency Management Agency (FEMA) and has pulled together a comprehensive team from a wide range of state and local agencies, as well as representatives from FEMA, United States Geological Survey, the Delaware National Guard, and the University of Delaware, to develop background information, define issues, and formulate goals and actions for the next plan.

DEMA has ambitiously set the goal of developing an all-hazards mitigation plan. Staff of the State Historic Preservation Office are part of this committee to provide insights into how natural and manmade disasters can affect historic properties.

Perhaps most important to the efforts of this plan is the Division of Parks and Recreation, also known as Delaware State Parks. This agency protects and maintains sixteen state parks and related preserves and greenways totaling more than 25,000 acres. The Division administers the state’s land protection programs as well as its Greenways programs. These programs contribute substantially to the preservation of historic and cultural landscapes.

By its nature, State Parks has an explicit preservation mandate. The agency operates the Time Travelers program to engage the public in archaeology, and recently developed a new program to highlight Native American technology and culture. State Parks also offers a Resident Curatorship Program in which a private partner invests their own resources to restore a historic property in exchange for a long-term lease. In 2018 legislation was introduced that may address impediments to the use of this program.

In addition to working to preserve historic landscape resources, Division parks, preserves, and greenways are sources of interpretive programming for visitors and residents. Among their important activities is the provision of educational programming for student groups brought to their sites by school districts. Their programming tends to focus on interpretation of natural resources. However, they have the potential to better link natural and historic interpretive themes for the education of residents and visitors statewide.

Since 2016, First State Heritage Park has partnered with many agencies and organizations to present the Arts, Culture and Heritage Field Days program for 4th graders. This program was initiated as a result of planning for the “Preservation50” events, and continues to focus on various aspects of preservation. HCA and SHPO participate in this annual program.

DNREC’s Sustainable Planning Section within the Office of Energy and Climate provides land use planning and development expertise focused on the long-term livability of Delaware’s communities through environmental protection and the wise use of natural resources. The Section leads the state’s climate change initiative. It also participates with OPSC and the SHPO in reviewing large-scale development projects statewide through the PLUS process.

DNREC also manages programs that protect and conserve natural resources, including oversight of the state’s Open Space Program.

DNREC’s Statewide Comprehensive Outdoor Recreation Plan and Wildlife Action Plan, both prepared in response to requirements for federal funding, should be reviewed for potential intersections with this preservation plan.

Fig. 21. Time Travelers project in Auburn Heights, photo courtesy of DNREC
Office of State Planning Coordination

The Office of State Planning Coordination (OSPC) reports to the Governor’s Office and works to coordinate land use decisions between the state, county, and municipal levels in support of effective planning statewide.

Every five years, the OSPC updates a statewide land use plan, titled Delaware Strategies for State Policies and Spending. The Strategies identifies and maps five distinct landscape types or ‘levels’ across the state according to present and proposed future development and land use. It then summarizes the state’s goals, policies, and strategies for these areas.

The Strategies for State Policies and Spending, discussed further in Chapter 5, provides a blueprint for future growth management in Delaware and is used as the basis for county and municipal comprehensive planning. The document identifies landscape areas intended for future growth as well as areas that are to remain rural or as conserved natural landscapes.

The document outlines state strategies to achieve those ends by limiting state-funded investment in infrastructure to targeted locations and through other programmatic means. It stipulates the roles of key state agencies in the plan’s implementation. A report on the effectiveness of state land use planning is prepared by the OSPC each year.

This state historic preservation plan is intended to be the historic preservation and community character component of the Strategies for State Policies and Spending.

In addition to preparing the Strategies, the OSPC provides technical planning assistance to counties and municipalities. It also reviews county and municipal comprehensive plans, which are required to be periodically updated by state law.

The OSPC coordinates state review of large-scale development projects in counties and municipalities through its Preliminary Land Use Service (PLUS). The SHPO and other state agencies participate in PLUS reviews.

Delaware has also established a Downtown Development Districts program managed through the OSPC to support local municipalities in downtown revitalization. The program has proven popular and effective and in 2016 was expanded from three to twelve participating communities. Historic preservation is one of the criteria for consideration for the program, and the state’s Historic Preservation Tax Credits can be used in concert with other incentives under the program. A companion program, the Neighborhood Building Blocks Fund, supports neighborhood revitalization.

The OSPC is a key partner in historic preservation planning in Delaware as a vehicle through which preservation values and approaches can be coordinated with and incorporated into other statewide planning and land use programs.

Delaware Department of State

The Delaware Department of State is a that cover everything from Archives to Veterans Affairs. The Division of Historical and Cultural Affairs and SHPO are within this department, but a number of its other entities are important to historic preservation as well.

Downtown Delaware is a downtown revitalization resource center for Delaware communities which had been housed within DEDO and is now part of the Department of State’s Division of Small Business. The office is affiliated with the nationwide Main Street America Coordinating Program and assists participating communities in implementing the Main Street Four-Step Program for downtown revitalization.

Six Delaware municipalities are fully accredited Main Street communities participating in Downtown Delaware’s programs. Ten additional communities are Commercial District Affiliates and are eligible for basic mentoring and support.

Downtown Delaware’s Main Street program includes the preservation and rehabilitation of historic downtown buildings and streetscapes as a primary strategy in downtown revitalization. The program is important to the goals of this preservation plan. With a very small staff, Downtown Delaware would benefit from stronger partnerships with the SHPO and OSPC in providing technical planning assistance to municipalities.

The Delaware Tourism Office is also part of the new Division of Small Business in the Department of State. This office is important to public interpretation in Delaware and works in coordination with county and municipal tourism offices. The Tourism Office plays a central role in promoting the Delaware’s museums, parks, historic sites, and communities to visitors and residents. The organization, presentation, themes, and graphic identity of its promotion set the stage for the public’s perception of Delaware’s stories and for their expectations for visitor experience. Any statewide coordination of interpretation and storytelling among historic communities and sites should work closely with the Tourism Office’s statewide presentation.

The Delaware Public Archives is one of the oldest public archives in the country. Its mission is to identify, collect, and preserve the state’s public records; ensure access to the records for present and future generations; and advise and educate in the creation, management, and preservation of public records. The archive is an important resource for research, education, and interpretation of Delaware history.

SHPO often partners with the Archives to conduct workshops for property owners researching information to support National Register nominations. The Archives is also frequented by consultants and agencies conducting cultural resource surveys in advance of construction projects, in accordance with federal preservation law.

The Archives also houses the Historic Marker program. Individuals and organizations work with lawmakers to have markers placed at historically significant locations and sites across the state.

The Delaware Heritage Commission’s mission is the celebration of Delaware’s history and heritage. The Commission works closely with sister organizations within the Department of State and fulfills its mission by publishing books on Delaware history, providing grants to individuals and organizations, hosting special events, collecting oral histories, and providing outreach services to organizations and individuals. The Heritage Commission’s work helps implement the educational goals of the state historic preservation plan.

The Delaware Division of the Arts is cultivates and supports the arts to enhance the quality of life for all Delawareans. Together with its advisory body, the Delaware State Arts Council, the Division administers grants and programs that support arts programming, educate the public, increase awareness of the arts, and seeks to integrate the arts into all facets of Delaware life.

Coordination of heritage programming and interpretation with the arts is a means through which the public can be engaged in a creative and memorable way. The arts have particular relevance for community interpretation in outdoor public spaces. Historically themed public art can significantly enhance downtown revitalization areas, neighborhoods, parks, and trail and byway corridors.
Deleware State Housing Authority

The Delaware State Housing Authority (DSHA) helps provide quality, affordable housing opportunities and appropriate support services to low- and moderate-income Delawareans. Though listed last in this chapter, its work is at the core of historic preservation issues in Delaware communities. Much of DSHA’s housing is located in older and historic neighborhoods and contributes to the character and economic health of historic communities.

Delaware Department of Transportation

The Delaware Department of Transportation (DelDOT) plans and constructs Delaware’s road and highway network in partnership with the federal government. DelDOT employs a staff of cultural resource professionals that, in consultation with SHPO, assess the effects of transportation projects on historic building, landscape, and archaeological resources.

DelDOT’s cultural resource staff is an important statewide partner. In addition to identifying and addressing the impacts of transportation programs, their work significantly contributes to the state inventory of historic resources and National Register listings, provides historic contexts for resource types within the state, and is a source for archeological investigation and information. Their work is recognized as an important component of this preservation plan even though it is generally limited in scope to activities directly related to specific transportation projects.

Within DelDOT’s Planning Division, the state’s Delaware Byways program is a partnership of state and federal government, communities and non-profit organizations to recognize historic and scenic road corridors and to implement programs for their preservation and appropriate treatment, including context sensitive design of projects.

The Delaware Byways program supports the preservation of historic landscape character and resources. It is also a heritage tourism venue, supporting the local and regional economy while educating visitors and residents about Delaware history and resources.

The Best of The Bayshore Byway

A Tour of Historic Architecture

Fig. 23. Cover of Bayshore Byway Tour Guide to Historic Architecture

Federal Agencies and Programs

Most federal agency interaction in the state relates to review of projects under Section 106 or Section 110 of the National Historic Preservation Act. Agencies such as the Federal Highway Administration, the US Fish and Wildlife Service, US Army Corps of Engineers, Housing and Urban Development, US Department of Agriculture (USDA) and many others fund projects that can impact historic properties. Federal regulations encourage, but do not require, agencies to avoid adversely affecting significant properties. When that is unavoidable, mitigating for these effects can preserve and share important historical information.

There are other examples of federal projects and programs contributing to preservation. Recently, funding from the USDA Rural Community Development Initiative supported development of Comprehensive Design Guides for the towns of Milford and Laurel, to encourage appropriate façade design of downtown historic properties.

The First State National Historical Park is the only national park in Delaware and has a mission to interpret Delaware’s early settlement history for Delaware’s citizens and visitors. Initially created as a national monument in March 2013, it was later designated a National Historical Park and expanded.

These sites are primarily operated by partners, including state agencies and local organizations. However, the National Park Service is charged with preparing planning documents for the overall park. This included a Cultural Landscape Inventory for the Beaver Valley Unit (including the Woodlawn tract) in 2017. NPS is also actively planning for rehabilitation work on certain historic properties within the park, including the Sheriff’s House in New Castle.

The Dover Air Force Base is the another major federal presence in the state, and is a significant employer in Kent County. The base’s Air Mobility Command Museum is housed in Hangar 1301, which is listed in the National Register of Historic Places.

The National Park Service’s State, Tribal and Local Plans and Grants office is a critical partner for preservation in the state. This agency manages the annual Historic Preservation Fund grants to states that support the SHPO’s programs, as well as several competitive preservation grant programs that are open to states, tribes and local governments.

Fig. 24. Cover of Dover Air Force Base’s publication, “To Slip the Bonds of Earth”

Fig. 25. Sheriff’s House, New Castle, now part of the First State National Historical Park

Fig. 26. Cover of Dover Air Force Base’s publication, “To Slip the Bonds of Earth”
Indian Tribes

Federally recognized tribes are considered sovereign nations and are afforded specific rights under federal law. Although there are no such tribes resident within the State of Delaware, some tribes in other states have known interests and concerns here. These include, but are not necessarily limited to, the Delaware Nation and the Delaware Tribe of Indians, both located in Oklahoma.

As with the federal government agencies noted above, most interaction with federally recognized tribes has been through review of projects subject to preservation law and regulations. Agencies are required to consult with federally recognized tribes on federally-funded or -assisted projects subject to Section 106 of the NHPA, and in accordance with other federal laws.

Outreach for the State Historic Preservation Plan sought to learn more about the tribes’ concerns about preservation issues in Delaware. As discussed elsewhere in this document, recognition of federal tribes’ sovereign status, differentiated from state-recognized tribes, was a primary concern.

In 2016, the Delaware General Assembly passed two separate bills, later signed by the Governor, which clarified the legal status of the Nanticoke Indian Tribe and the Lenape Indian Tribe of Delaware within the state. Although both had long been acknowledged through various means, the legislation provided formal state recognition.

The Delaware National Guard consists of the Delaware Army National Guard and the Delaware Air National Guard, both housed at the New Castle Air National Guard Base.

The Delaware National Guard, within the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, manages two separate refuges in Delaware, Bombay Hook and Prime Hook. Both are lands set aside to manage a national network of lands and waters to conserve America’s fish, wildlife, and plants within Delaware.71 72

Preservation and Environmental Non-profits

Preservation Delaware, Inc. is Delaware’s statewide non-profit advocacy organization. It is also the steward of the Marian Coffin Garden at Gibraltar near Wilmington.

Statewide advocacy organizations are present in most states across the nation and play a key role in providing information, technical assistance, and support to preservationists in local communities. Because of their statewide view and reach, they can be effective advocates with legislators and agencies at the state level.

As an organization, Preservation Delaware has been largely inactive in statewide matters for several years. Current board members are exploring how to reform and re-energize it. Guidance and support in strategic planning is needed. The existence of an effective statewide advocacy organization is an important component in the structure of Delaware’s preservation community.76

Delaware Greenways is a statewide non-profit organization focusing on trails, scenic byways, greenways, and landscape. Its projects connect and help revitalize communities and involve the preservation and interpretation of Delaware’s natural and cultural landscapes. The organization manages three of the six State Byways, including Brandywine Byway which is also a nationally designated Byway. Through this work, Delaware Greenways is an advocate for community enhancement and quality of life.77

The Delaware Nature Conservancy and Delaware Nature Society are non-profit organizations that focus on environmental conservation and advocacy. The Nature Conservancy works with a number of partners to conserve places that are important for people and wildlife. The organization’s website states that these efforts have resulted in the conservation of more than 30,000 acres across Delaware.78

Among its many activities, the Nature Society is a partner with HCA in operating and preserving Abbott’s Mill, a property that is listed in the National Register of Historic Places.79

Delaware Wildlands, Inc. is a private non-profit land trust that has been working to protect and restore Delaware’s important natural areas since 1961. The organization acquires, manages, and conveys land for permanent protection, mostly along Delaware’s coastline and in the Great Cypress Swamp.

While historic preservation is not within its stated mission, the organization plays an important role in the identification and protection of landscapes of statewide significance. There is a need to improve the relationship between Delaware Wildlands and the preservation community, to find common ground and explore potential partnerships to protect natural and cultural landscapes.80

The Sussex County Land Trust is another non-profit organization that contributes to historic preservation through open space management and stewardship. The Trust partners with many other agencies, organizations and private interests to protect natural, cultural, agricultural and recreational resources. The Trust acquired certain tracts, including the property known asickford containing the National Register-listed Cannon-Maston House. Efforts are underway to restore the house, and develop a trail system and visitor center on the property. The organization also obtained conservation easements on several other properties containing important farmland, wetlands, marsh, and forest.81

While the Delaware State Historic Preservation Plan serves as an important resource and tool for local governments, non-profit organizations, and others interested in preserving Delaware’s history and culture, it is not intended to replace the role and responsibilities of the agencies established by federal law to protect and preserve America’s historic resources. These agencies include the National Park Service, the Smithsonian Institution, the National Trust for Historic Preservation, and the National Geographic Society, among others.82
Educators and Students

The Center for Historic Architecture and Design (UDCHAD) is a research and public policy service center of the School of Public Policy and Administration at the University of Delaware. UDCHAD addresses issues related to historic preservation through research, planning, design, and advocacy. UDCHAD surveys and documents historic buildings and resources in Delaware in an effort to create a cumulative record of the state’s architectural and cultural landscape. Since 1981, the program has documented more than 3,000 historic properties, mostly using student labor. UDCHAD also has a laboratory for analysis of material culture.

UDCHAD plays an important role in Delaware’s preservation community not only through the documentation of resources but also through preservation training and education of up and coming historic preservation professionals and as an influence on public policy. Recently UDCHAD organized a preservation advocacy meeting to use the attention of high-profile demolitions of historic properties to galvanize and focus a preservation network for the state. Over 50 people attended.

Agencies, organizations, and private land owners have depended upon UDCHAD to help them research the significance of their properties.80

Delaware State University offered a graduate degree in Historic Preservation and Museum Studies through its College of Arts, Humanities and Social Science; however the program was deactivated in the fall of 2016. Despite this loss, there are opportunities to engage on history-related programs and education. In 2016 and 2017, DSU partnered with HCA and First State Heritage Park on the “Summer Bridge” Jump Start program, an academic-enrichment and leadership-development initiative. Incoming freshman students worked with interpreters to develop and present interactive programs for the public at four historic sites in the Dover area. Exploring similar connections with DSU on historic preservation-focused work should continue.81

Engagement with other institutions of higher learning, such as Wilmington University, Wesley College, Goldey Beacom College, Widener University Delaware Law School, and Delaware Technical Community College (particularly concerning preservation trades) should be explored further.82-86

Historical Societies, Museums, Libraries and Other Organizations

The Archaeological Society of Delaware is a non-profit organization founded in 1933 by avocational and professional archaeologists to study and appreciate the archaeological heritage of Delaware and the surrounding region. It remains a vibrant group of professionals and volunteers whose mission includes educating the public about the archaeology of the state, and promoting interest and participation in archaeology and related activities.

HCA recently partnered with the Archaeological Society of Delaware to announce the findings of an important and rare 17th century archaeological site called Avery’s Rest. Over the course of several years, ASD members logged hundreds of hours of clearing, excavation and artifact analysis work. The Smithsonian Institute participated in the excavation and analysis of colonial burials discovered at the site in 2012. The site revealed a wealth of new information about some of Delaware’s earliest colonial settlers.

In addition to conducting major investigations such as at the Avery’s Rest site, the ASD also partners with agencies and organizations to conduct public programs. The work of this organization is vital to this aspect of historic preservation.87

The Delaware Historical Society is a steward of several historic properties, including the National Historic Landmark Read House and Gardens in New Castle. The Society’s mission is to serve as “the statewide, non-profit organization that preserves, explores, shares and promotes Delaware history, heritage and culture to strengthen our community.” The organization houses the Jane and Littleton Mitchell Center for African-American Heritage, which provides exhibits and other public outreach on African-American history. The Society recently joined HCA, the Archaeological Society of Delaware, and the Smithsonian in the announcement of the Avery’s Rest site findings, and is currently collaborating on presenting an exhibit to highlight the significance of this site. The Society is a potential resource for other partnerships on preservation issues, statewide.88

The Underground Railroad Coalition of Delaware is a non-profit group of dedicated individuals that works to increase public awareness of the history of the Underground Railroad in Delaware through research, education, documentation, and commemoration projects. The Coalition worked with counterparts in Maryland in developing the Management Plan for the Harriet Tubman Underground Railroad Byway. In 2015, the group was instrumental in establishing a new historic marker on the Byway in Delaware, honoring abolitionist Samuel D. Burris. The Coalition could be a partner in coordinating outreach and interpretation on this aspect of Delaware history, as well as advocating for identifying and preserving associated sites.89
Local historical societies often maintain museums and provide historical information on their localities. Sharing research among these groups and agencies could be mutually beneficial. Local societies can be important advocates for historic preservation in their communities, including undertaking or partnering on rehabilitation projects.

Libraries statewide provide public meeting places and exhibits. Consulting firms are under-recognized partners in preservation work in Delaware. Firms are most often hired by agencies to perform surveys and mitigation work for regulatory compliance. But as seen in DelDOT’s US 301 Project and other instances, consulting firms can bring to the table a wealth of knowledge and commitment to expanding the public’s understanding of Delaware history. Trade organizations such as the American Cultural Resources Association also play an important advocacy role in historic preservation, monitoring national legislation and policy.

Fig. 30. Dinker-Irvin Cottage, listed in the National Register, 7/3/2017, a 1904 beach cottage, which the Town of Bethany Beach plans to use as a town museum, photo courtesy Town of Bethany Beach

Public Partners: Delaware’s Citizens and Historic Property Owners

The participation of local preservation advocates is central to the statewide preservation plan. However, finding information on threats and opportunities is a common problem for these individuals. Most would benefit from professional technical assistance from governmental agencies. This plan seeks ways to improve the public’s access to such help.

Likewise, agencies and organizations need information these local advocates can offer about properties that are of significance to their own communities, and what they see as preservation priorities.

Historic property owners can benefit from state and federal tax credits, to rehabilitate historic buildings in their communities. These individuals can help communicate the broader economic benefit of these programs, and can be important advocates to their local governments on historic preservation issues information about DelDOT’s archaeological projects.

Preparation of this updated Delaware State Historic Preservation Plan was undertaken from October 2016 through May 2018. During that period, significant consultation was undertaken with stakeholders and interested citizens at the state, county, and local levels. Appendix III provides details on the venues and constituencies engaged during this process.

There was a concerted effort to reach beyond traditional preservation partners. The planning process sought to identify issues important to those involved in community and landscape planning across the state. These conversations shaped the development of every aspect of the plan.

This preservation plan builds on the goals and strategies outlined in the 2013-2017 State Historic Preservation Plan, broadening their range and deepening the involvement of potential partners.
Background Research and SHPO Conversations

Although internal efforts were already underway, the planning process began in earnest in early December 2016 with background research and a series of conversations between SHPO staff and the consultant team retained to assist in the planning. Heritage Strategies, LLC in association with Commonwealth Heritage Group, Inc.

The team reviewed the goals and strategies of the 2013-2017 preservation plan and the challenges and accomplishments involved in its implementation over the past five years. The status of historic preservation and the network of stakeholders involved in preservation were discussed. Programs managed by the SHPO were reviewed to assess their effectiveness in supporting preservation at the county and local levels. Some of the challenges identified in the 2013-2017 plan were found to continue to be of issue.

Background research was undertaken to gather information on other programs that impact historic resources. Policy documents that should serve as foundations for the preservation plan were identified. Most significant were the state economic development strategy and state land use plan.

Demographic trends in Delaware since 2012 were reviewed as were trends in land development in communities across the state. Much of this information is summarized in chapter three of this plan. The research provided the context for the many factors that can affect historic preservation in the state. This information formed the introduction to discussions during public meetings.

The team reviewed the accomplishments involved in its implementation over the past five years. The state generally lacks a sufficient number of local craftsmen with knowledge about and experience in historic building preservation. The state economy relies upon and becomes overwhelmed; homeowners in historic neighborhoods, who find they are not able to take appropriate care of their properties; and transportation needs tend to overwhelm historic preservation initiatives.

Getting the Word Out

A marketing campaign was begun to inform the public of the efforts to develop a new Preservation Plan. This began with a feature article in the HCA e-newsletter, and information posted on a special section of the SHPO web page.

Flyers advertising the community workshops and an online survey were given out at various events and emailed to preservation partners throughout the state to redistribute to their networks. HCA sent out press releases to 140 different press contacts. Information was also posted extensively by individuals involved in preservation through social media such as Facebook and Twitter.

Fig. 31. Laurel Public Meeting March 2, 2017.
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The Division of Historical and Cultural Affairs’ newsletter has a distribution list of over 2100, including historical or cultural organizations, state elected officials, and county and municipal government contacts. Press releases go out to 140 different press contacts.

Information was also posted extensively by individuals involved in preservation through social media such as Facebook and Twitter.

The public generally recognizes the importance of cultivating community leaders and local officials for the success of preservation initiatives.

Public Meetings

In March 2017, a series of five community workshops were held across the state. Communities in which to hold the workshops were selected based not only on geographic location but also on demographic profile and types of preservation issues faced. Workshops were conducted in Laurel and Lewes in Sussex County, Dover in Kent County, and Middletown and Wilmington in New Castle County.

Most of those attending the workshops were residents of local and surrounding communities involved in historic preservation activities. A number of county and local planners attended as well. Participants expressed a distinct need for continued and increasing support for local historic preservation initiatives from the county and state levels.

The conversations conducted at community workshops played an important role in shaping the goals, strategies, and priorities of the preservation plan.

Among the key issues raised were:

- Countywide interests such as economic development, land development, and transportation needs tend to overwhelm historic preservation issues;
- Challenges are felt by local communities in efforts to revitalize – underscoring the importance of state level programs and support;
- Volunteers are in limited supply in local communities – the same people are always relied upon and become overwhelmed;
- Economic challenges are felt by some homeowners in historic neighborhoods, who find they are not able to take appropriate care of their properties;
- New development increases costs for older residents with limited incomes;
- Poor maintenance of rental properties, often with absentee landlords, affects entire neighborhoods;
- Loss of historic buildings and landscapes to new large-scale development is accepted as part of growth and economic development;
- Homeowners need access to information to help them understand how to properly care for their historic buildings;
- The state generally lacks a sufficient number of local craftsmen with knowledge about and experience with historic buildings;
- The public generally recognizes the importance of cultivating community leaders and local officials for the success of preservation initiatives.
**Online Survey**

In the effort to reach a wider audience, SHPO created an online survey that posed a series of questions related to historic preservation in Delaware. Responses were received from March through June 2017. Appendix III.C provides demographic information on the survey respondents. See Appendix IV for the survey questions and data on the responses.

The survey included a number of questions on what historic preservation means to the respondents and how they feel about Delaware’s historic places, and the best strategies and tools to address issues affecting these places.

**Respondents identified the following as key challenges or threats to preservation in:**

- Lack of financial incentives and economic tools.
- Need for preservation education
- Need for training in preservation field
- Need for public understanding about the importance of preservation values.

**Respondents gave strong agreement with the following statements:**

- Preserving Delaware’s historic places provides a sense of place in our communities and adds to our quality of life.
- With appropriate planning, historic preservation and economic growth are compatible goals.
- Archaeology is important to understanding our past.
- Restoring historic buildings and protecting historic neighborhoods is environmentally responsible.
- Historic museums and heritage tourism are important in Delaware’s economy.
- When I have visitors, I take them to visit historic places in my community.
- Historic places are important in educating children about our past.
- Government has an important role in preserving the state’s heritage.

**Targeted Outreach to Organizations**

To broaden outreach for the plan, SHPO staff met directly with various organizations to introduce the preservation planning process and gather ideas. Outreach included history and preservation-related groups (e.g., the Lewes Historical Society, Delaware Underground Railroad Coalition), but also a senior center, a masonic lodge, representatives of historic religious institutions, and a committee focused on regional tourism issues in Sussex County. [See Appendix III.B for more detail]

**Consultation With Stakeholders**

In early February 2017, a list of fifty-two stakeholders related to historic preservation was assembled by the SHPO. The list included individuals from all levels of government, non-profits, and the private sector who are directly or indirectly connected to historic preservation issues in Delaware.

Over the course of the next three months, the planning team selected 19 stakeholders to individually interview on topics related to the preservation plan (See Appendix III.D for a full list of the interviewees). Others from the stakeholder list participated in public meetings and/or the goal-setting Working Group meeting.

Stakeholder interviews were important in providing details on the ways in which current programs have been working in Delaware with respect to historic preservation. The need to acknowledge and work through the limited capacity and funding of agencies, organizations, and programs was a recurring theme. Specific ways to support, modify, and adapt existing programs were discussed and incorporated into the preservation plan.

The SHPO also reached out directly to Indian Tribes during the stakeholder interview process. Staff spoke with representatives of the Delaware Tribe of Indians and Delaware Nation, two federally recognized tribes in Oklahoma who have known interests and concerns in the State of Delaware. Both emphasized the importance of recognizing and honoring the sovereign government status of their tribes. This includes ensuring that state agencies, developers, and others understand that there is a legal obligation to consult with federally recognized tribes on projects that are subject to compliance with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act and the Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Act. Consultation with state tribes is not a substitute for this requirement.

SHPO staff met directly with leadership of the two state recognized tribes, the Nanticoke Tribe in Sussex County and the Lenape Indian Tribe of Delaware in Kent County. Both expressed the importance of learning more about what kind of cultural resource information the state holds, and how that information can be accessed.

Among other issues and concerns, all of the tribal entities noted the need for more information about state laws and policies that protect cultural resources.

**Historic Preservation Plan Working Group**

In late April 2017, a special meeting of the Historic Preservation Plan Working Group was convened to discuss findings and approach and to develop draft goals and recommendations.

Establishment of the Historic Preservation Working Group (Working Group) was a recommendation of the 2013-2017 preservation plan, and starting in 2015 the Working Group has been convening once or twice each year to review historic preservation issues and initiatives.

The Working Group comprises planning and preservation professionals from Delaware’s three counties and several state agencies, including SHPO, DNREC, DelDOT, DSHA, and the Department of Agriculture. Representatives of the academic community including the University of Delaware’s Center for Historic Architecture and Design and Delaware State University also participated. Appendix III.E lists the attendees and other Working Group members whose input was sought.

An overview of the planning process, findings, and public and stakeholder input was presented to the Working Group. Goals of the 2013-2017 preservation plan were reviewed along with key issues and trends.

A draft vision statement and planning principles for the 2018-2022 preservation plan were reviewed and discussed. An outline of a proposed structure for the new plan with draft goals, potential strategies, and recommendations for monitoring and implementation were presented, reviewed, and modified. A comprehensive discussion on priorities ensued, laying the groundwork for preparation of the draft preservation plan.
Outline and Draft Plans

From May through July, draft chapters of the preservation plan were prepared by the planning team and reviewed by SHPO staff. The format of the draft plan follows that of the 2013-2017 plan, building on its goals and strategies. Key differences include a broadening of the topics and issues addressed and the inclusion of the programs of other state agencies as part of a statewide approach to preservation.

The new plan also emphasizes the importance of land use planning as essential to historic preservation interests. A primary focus of the draft plan was strengthening support for county and municipal planning and grassroots preservation initiatives, as expressed during the community workshops. Work then ensued to compile additional data, refine the goals, strategies and actions, and prepare a draft for distribution and comment.

Final State Historic Preservation Plan

Upon receipt of comments on the Draft Preservation Plan, revisions were made and a final draft was prepared. The preservation plan in its entirety was then sent to the National Park Service for final review.

The final steps in the process were the State Review Board’s formal adoption of the 2018-2022 Delaware State Historic Preservation Plan in June 2018, and the National Park Service’s approval of the plan in July 2018.

In April 2018, the Draft Preservation Plan was made available to stakeholders, community participants, and the general public for review and comment. Copies of the draft plan were provided to members of the State Review Board for Historic Preservation and the Working Group for comment, many of whom were also on the list of stakeholders involved earlier in the planning process. The Office of State Planning Coordination and the National Park Service were also contacted for comments on the draft.

6. Preservation Approach

Vision Statement for Historic Preservation in Delaware:

Delaware’s historic properties and landscapes are essential to community character and quality of life, and their preservation is supported and recognized by a network of public and private stakeholders working with common goals.

Through consultation with partners and stakeholders across the state, a vision for the role of historic preservation in Delaware was developed. This vision conveys how we think about historic resources and the contribution they make to our communities and our lives, and reflects our hopes for preservation outcomes in the future. It is the focus for the strategies and actions included in this preservation plan.
Planning Principles

Seven key principles are recognized as essential to the successful development and implementation of strategies aimed at achieving the statewide vision. These planning principles are based on the role and structure of state government in Delaware, the relationships among state government, partners, and stakeholders, and an understanding of the forces at play with respect to the recognition and appropriate treatment historic and archaeological resources.

1. The State Historic Preservation Plan should have a statewide perspective, embracing all of the primary ways through which historic and archaeological resources are impacted and include all of the partners and stakeholders that may play a part in the plan’s implementation.

2. The plan should embed itself in existing state policies and programs, relating it to statewide policy, incorporating the programs of other agencies, increasing the potential for widespread implementation, and demonstrating how historic preservation interests are intrinsically relevant to the varied interests and activities of the state, its communities, and its residents.

3. Historic preservation considerations should be an integral part of all state strategies, policies, and programs.

4. The preservation plan should recognize and make use of as many tools as possible, not just those traditional to the historic preservation community.

5. The Delaware State Historic Preservation Office connects Delaware to the National Historic Preservation Program. Within the state, it monitors, encourages, and facilitates the roles of other partners and stakeholders. The SHPO works closely with other state level preservation professionals in DNREC and DelDOT.

6. State agencies are vehicles for statewide vision, coordination, incentives, and guidance through the many programs that impact landscape, community character, and quality of life.

7. The State Historic Preservation Plan will be implemented primarily at the grassroots, municipal, and county levels through ongoing programs and activities.

Approach to Historic Preservation in Statewide Planning

Delaware’s state land use plan, Delaware Strategies for State Policy and Spending, provides a blueprint for future growth management in the state and is used as the basis for county and municipal planning. Historic and archaeological resources are found in every landscape and community in Delaware, and should be taken into consideration in planning for growth and change wherever they are present.

This State Historic Preservation Plan should be viewed as the historic preservation and community character component of the Strategies for State Policies and Spending.

The Strategies identifies five distinct land use areas across the state and refers to them as Investment Levels to describe state policies for program and funding support in each.

Investment Levels 1 through 3 are the areas where state policies are specifically aimed to support growth and economic development activities. Investment Level 4 is where state policies support agriculture, protection of natural resources, and open-space activities. The fifth level, termed Out-of-Play, includes landscape areas that are not appropriate for development. Primarily, these are lands conserved through conservation easements as well as other environmentally constrained lands.

Each of these land use areas or levels necessitates different approaches to the recognition and treatment of historic and archaeological resources. General historic preservation approaches to each Investment Level are outlined below and should be the basis for planning and growth management practices across the state.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>State Agency</th>
<th>Level 1 Investment Areas</th>
<th>Level 2 Investment Areas</th>
<th>Level 3 Investment Areas</th>
<th>Level 4 Investment Areas</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHPO</td>
<td>Particularly high concentrations of historic buildings and structures. Efforts to identify and consideration of potential effects to these resources needed early in the planning process.</td>
<td>High concentrations of historic buildings and structures. Efforts to identify and consideration of potential effects to these resources needed early in the planning process.</td>
<td>Lower concentration of historic buildings and structures. Efforts to consider the potential effects to these resources needed early in the planning process.</td>
<td>Strongly discourage development, except for certain uses with minimal effects to the existing landscape and with no significant infrastructure improvements. Lower concentration of historic buildings and structures. Efforts to consider the potential effects to these resources needed early in the planning process.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNREC</td>
<td>SHPO works closely with other state level preservation professionals to coordinate projects with certified local governments.</td>
<td>SHPO works closely with other state level preservation professionals to coordinate projects with certified local governments.</td>
<td>SHPO works closely with other state level preservation professionals to coordinate projects with certified local governments.</td>
<td>SHPO works closely with other state level preservation professionals to coordinate projects with certified local governments.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DelDOT</td>
<td>SHPO works closely with other state level preservation professionals to coordinate projects with certified local governments.</td>
<td>SHPO works closely with other state level preservation professionals to coordinate projects with certified local governments.</td>
<td>SHPO works closely with other state level preservation professionals to coordinate projects with certified local governments.</td>
<td>SHPO works closely with other state level preservation professionals to coordinate projects with certified local governments.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 32 Excerpt of the State Agency Matrix in “Delaware Strategies for State Policy and Spending”. SHPO guidance for investment areas.
Developed Areas.

Investment Level 1 areas include municipalities, towns, and urbanizing places in counties throughout the state. They include Delaware’s urban cores and their immediate surrounding suburbs.

These are Delaware’s most densely built-up landscapes. Within these areas, community character and identity are inextricably bound to the landscape’s historic buildings and patterns of development.

In Investment Level 1 areas it is the state’s intent to maintain and enhance community character, promote well designed and efficient new growth, and facilitate redevelopment. Higher density, mixed-use development is encouraged in appropriate areas such that complete communities can be preserved and developed.

These landscapes include dense areas of historic building and landscape resources of many periods and types. Individual communities and developed areas are complex and overlain with successive periods of development that give them rich character. Intact archaeological sites can be present beneath modern layers.

The character, issues, needs, and potential of each individual community and developed area are different and need to be individually assessed, preferably through the work and interests of local stakeholders. The use of traditional historic preservation approaches and programs is well suited to state, county, and local goals in these developed areas.

Historic Preservation Approaches Include:

- Preservation and revitalization of historic downtown commercial cores as areas of community focus
- Preservation and revitalization of historic residential neighborhoods;
- Identification of historic and cultural resources, archaeological sites, landscapes, and community assets that contribute to the character, economic vitality, and quality of life of Delaware’s urbanized areas;
- Revitalization of struggling older urban neighborhoods through focused revitalization supported by state and federal affordable housing, CDBG, rehabilitation, and other programs;
- Introduction of appropriate new infill development within existing historic contexts, preserving and incorporating historic building and landscape resources into new development where they are present;
- Recognition, support, and reinforcement of the character and identity of existing communities around which new development is occurring;
- Identification and documentation of historic and cultural resources and landscape patterns in areas designated for growth and change;
- Recognition and use of historic landscape patterns and characteristics in the design of new development;
- Incorporation of historic resources and their immediate landscape contexts into new development;
- Identification and protection of significant archaeological sites as development is being planned;
- Conservation of historic natural resources within developing areas and the establishment of open space and trail corridors connecting newly developing areas to existing communities;
- Special protection for existing historic communities and neighborhoods that may be overwhelmed in scale and design by adjacent new development.

Future Growth Areas

Investment Level 3 areas are lands within the long-term growth plans of counties or municipalities that are not necessary to accommodate expected population growth during the current planning period. They are considered future growth areas, and their development is not considered appropriate in the near term.

Level 3 areas also include environmentally sensitive and constrained lands within current growth areas where development has been discouraged to date. Development of these areas may be appropriate in the near term, but the resources on the sites and in the surrounding area should be carefully considered and accommodated.

Most of the areas where future Level 3 growth is anticipated to occur is currently agricultural in nature, especially in central and southern Delaware. The character of these areas is expected to dramatically change in future decades when development occurs. Historic building, landscape, and archaeological resources may be threatened.

The state will consider investing in infrastructure for Investment Level 3 areas once the Investment Level 1 and 2 areas are substantially built out, or when the infrastructure or facilities are logical extensions of existing systems and deemed appropriate to serve a particular area.

In the meantime, these landscape areas should be preserved. However, steps should be taken to anticipate and plan for potential future development. Approaches to future development are similar to those outlined for Levels 1 and 2.

- Conservation of historic natural resources within future development areas and the establishment of open space and trail corridors connecting these areas to current development and adjacent existing communities;
- Recognition, support, and reinforcement of the character and identity of existing communities around which new development will occur.
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Conservation Landscapes
Out-of-Play

Conservation landscapes within the state are lands that are considered not appropriate or available for private development. They include publicly owned lands, private conservation lands, lands for which serious legal and/or environmental constraints on development are identified, and lands in some form of permanent open-space protection (such as agricultural preservation easements and conservation easements).

These lands, described by the state as Out-of-Play, are not expected to be subject to private development activities. However, government entities, private property owners, and conservation organizations are still expected to invest in these areas for the purposes for which they were acquired and preserved.

The historical significance of conserved natural and agricultural lands is often not fully realized or appreciated. Historic resources are sometimes threatened and neglected on lands conserved for their natural attributes due to lack of use, limited budgets, and lack of perceived relevance to an organization’s or agency’s mission.

Conserved agricultural lands are significant rural cultural landscapes as outlined in discussion of Level 4 areas above and should be respected and treated as outlined. Natural lands are also of historical significance and played an important role in Delaware’s history and development. They are particularly significant in relation to Native American cultures.

Delaware’s Rural Agricultural Landscape
Investment Level 4

Investment Level 4 areas are rural in nature and include most of Delaware’s agricultural landscapes, open space, and natural areas. These landscapes feature economically important agribusiness activities and are characterized by the presence of farm complexes and small settlements, including historic crossroad communities and villages that are rich in historic and cultural character.

Investment Level 4 areas also include undeveloped natural areas, such as forests, and large recreational landscapes, such as state and county parks and fish and wildlife preserves. These lands have natural habitats that are important in providing “ecosystem services” such as improving water quality and reducing flood risk.

Existing scattered residential development is found throughout this area, mostly in the form of single-family detached residences. Existing development also includes private recreational facilities such as campgrounds and golf courses.

In Investment Level 4 Areas, the state supports retaining the rural landscape, preserving open space and farmland, supporting farmland-related industries, and establishing defined edges with areas of more concentrated development.

Delaware’s Level 4 areas comprise the state’s historic agricultural landscape that will be retained and preserved in agricultural use. The distinctive character of this rural landscape became fully developed in the mid-nineteenth century, and its historic landscape patterns remain visible and intact today.

This cultural landscape is historically significant and is being lost in other areas of the state. As agriculture in Delaware continues and changes, the character of this landscape and its character-defining features should be recognized and preserved.

Historic Preservation Approaches Include:

- Recognition of Delaware’s rural agricultural landscape as a historic and cultural landscape important to the state and its economy;
- Support for continued agricultural uses and the viability and vibrancy of the agricultural industry;
- Identification and documentation of character defining features, attributes, and resources of this significant cultural landscape;
- Planning and protection as a cultural landscape using established cultural landscape methodologies;
- Encouragement of the appropriate treatment of historic agricultural buildings, resources, and landscape features;
- Encouragement of the continued use of agricultural preservation easements and inclusion of historic preservation and cultural landscape values in the consideration of assessment of preserved agricultural lands;
- Promotion as a tourism destination in support of the agricultural industry, local communities, and local economy.

Historic Preservation Approaches Include:

- Inclusion of Delaware’s conserved agricultural lands and natural lands in the study and recognition of the state’s historic rural agricultural landscape;
- Recognition of how ecological systems and forces influenced and impacted historical development and continue to do so today;
- Particular recognition of Native American history and culture in relation to the state’s conserved natural landscapes;
- Identification of character defining features and attributes in conserved natural lands as cultural landscapes;
- Identification, preservation, and reuse of historic buildings and resources on conserved agricultural and natural lands.

Delaware’s Strategies for State Policy and Spending provides a framework for state investment and support of future development growth. This State Historic Preservation Plan should be viewed as the Strategies’ historic preservation component and outlines how historic resources will be identified, recognized, and incorporated into landscape planning and growth management.

The plan recognizes that community character is central to Delaware’s appeal and to its long-term economic development strategy, and that historic resources are central to community character.

Many of the impacts on historic and cultural resources emanate from forces beyond the scope of traditional preservation practice. This preservation plan recognizes the need to reach out to a broad range of potential partners as central to the state’s preservation approach. These include state agencies, counties, municipalities, and other statewide and local entities.

Most preservation partners and stakeholders are working to achieve their missions with limited financial and human resources. Many significant achievements in historic preservation happen at the grassroots level, undertaken by a wide variety of individuals and local groups of varying types, experience, and capacities.

By finding common ground and collaborating toward mutual and overlapping goals we can achieve broader and more substantial success in preserving historic resources and making Delaware a rich and distinctive place in which to live.
This section describes the six broad goals of the 2018-2022 preservation plan that were developed from the public meetings and surveys, and from discussions with numerous preservation partners in government, non-profits, and the private sector.

**GOAL 1 - DELAWARE’S HISTORIC PRESERVATION PROGRAM**

Strengthen and expand Delaware’s core federal/state historic preservation program to provide a solid foundation for preservation initiatives throughout the state.

The National Historic Preservation Act established a state and local historic preservation initiatives and are national historic preservation program in partnership essential to their long-term success. These programs with the states and provides the framework for historic preservation at the state and local levels. With support for integrated with new emerging preservation initiatives.

through federal funding, the Delaware State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) manages the federal/state partnership in Delaware and guides the participation of communities, organizations, and citizens statewide. The SHPO’s ongoing programs are the foundation for components of the State Historic Preservation Plan.

**GOAL 2 - RELATED PROGRAMS**

Enhance or establish relationships among federal and state programs that impact historic preservation.

Programs implemented across a variety of state These agency programs should be highlighted and agencies have impacts on historic buildings, landscapes, coordinated with other preservation initiatives. In all and archaeological resources. In some cases, agency cases, agencies should be cognizant of the impacts programs undertaken primarily for other purposes their programs have on historic resources, and historic contribute substantially to the preservation of historic preservation approaches should be considered and resources and should be recognized as important supported in program administration and management. components of the State Historic Preservation Plan.

**GOAL 3 - BEST PRACTICES IN PLANNING**

Encourage inclusion of historic preservation values as a best practice in landscape and community planning.

Historic resources are recognized as an integral component of landscapes and communities throughout Delaware, contributing to their character and to local quality of life for residents. Most major changes within communities are related to land development and growth management processes involving varying levels of governmental oversight and review.

Over the past two decades, best practices in landscape and community planning in Delaware have increasingly recognized the importance of sense-of-place and community character. Some communities have adopted innovative planning strategies that encourage better outcomes in community design and livability. Goal 3 highlights the importance of historic resources in these best practices as character defining features of communities that should be preserved and enhanced. Many of these planning practices go beyond the traditional activities of historic preservation.

**GOAL 4 - GRASSROOTS INITIATIVE**

Support grassroots historic preservation initiatives in communities throughout the state.

Grassroots initiatives are at the forefront of historic preservation in counties and communities throughout Delaware. Most grassroots preservation initiatives are undertaken by volunteers who know their communities and are committed to community betterment. In some cases volunteer grassroots initiatives are undertaken through local government-appointed bodies – historical commissions, historic review boards, and others. In other cases nonprofit organizations and ad hoc local groups are involved.

Grassroots action is essential to a healthy, broad-based, statewide preservation program. Grassroots action demonstrates the interest and support of local residents and directly engages local community leaders. Grassroots organizations exist in many forms with widely differing capabilities. To be effective, grassroots activists need to be well informed, realistic, professional, consistent, and persistent. They require support from all levels of government and non-profit sectors, and they will benefit from networking and communication with each other sharing knowledge and experience.

**GOAL 5 - INFORMATION**

Make information on Delaware’s historic resources widely available.

Accurate and thorough information on Delaware’s historic resources is essential to good planning and the preservation of historic building and landscape resources. A number of state agencies share in aspects of collecting, maintaining, and providing access to information on historic resources.

Most important is the inventory of historic resources maintained by the State Historic Preservation Office. Historic contexts can provide a basis for understanding Delaware history and its resources and are important for education, survey, and assessment. Upgrading, improving, and extending the inventory, historic contexts, and other supporting information is an ongoing task in which many partners may participate.
GOAL 6 - COMMUNICATION

Broadly communicate the value of Delaware’s historic resources and the means through which they can be preserved and enhanced.

Effective communication about Delaware’s historic resources has several different primary audiences and purposes, but all are important to the state’s historic preservation program. Communication within the preservation community, including both professionals and the grassroots advocates, is important to provide information and coordinate activities.

Communication to young people through education is important in building the long-term support and engagement of future generations.

STRATEGIES AND ACTIONS

To meet each of the six goals, a number of strategies and actions are proposed. These are outlined in the charts that follow this chapter.

While the goals are broad statements about the hopes for future conditions, strategies define how these goals could be achieved. Specific actions then provide discrete tasks that can be adapted by one or more partners.

Some of the proposed actions are carryovers from the 2013-2017 plan. Many others derive directly from conversations held with partners and stakeholders during the development of the plan. The actions within each goal vary in their focus, but essentially can be characterized as directing preservation advocates to:

- BE INFORMED
- SHARE RESOURCES
- TAKE ACTION
- SHOE RESOURCES
- SHARE RESOURCES
- TAKE ACTION
- SHOE RESOURCES
- SHARE RESOURCES
- TAKE ACTION

BE INFORMED

SHARE RESOURCES

TAKE ACTION

SUGGESTED PARTNERS

Chapter 4 identified a number of agencies and organizations that could help implement the plan. For some this may involve simply improving communication on work they are already doing. For others it will be important to make connections between the missions they serve and preservation goals.

In the charts that follow, there are suggested partners listed for each action. The list is not intended to be exhaustive, or exclusive; other entities may very well be involved.

PRIORITIES

The preservation plan for 2018-2022 is ambitious. Some proposed activities are wide-ranging, and will require a longer timeframe to achieve. Others are relatively simple to implement. Regardless, not all of this proposed work can be given equal weight.

For the review of the initial draft of this plan, partners were asked to offer their thoughts on the immediate priorities. Although there was no consensus on the rank of the Goals, the following strategies, as highlighted in the charts included in this chapter, were suggested as important starting points for implementing the plan:

Goal 1: Increase public awareness of preservation programs
Goal 2: Increase public awareness of proposed land use changes
Goal 3: Preservation strategies in local government planning
Goal 4: Develop preservation network
Goal 5: Promote federal tax credit programs
Goal 6: Increase public awareness of historic preservation

IMPLEMENTATION, MONITORING, AND ASSESSMENT

It is recommended that the SHPO and key partners follow a simple but regular process through which implementation of the State Historic Preservation Plan can be coordinated, monitored, and assessed. Each partner will be encouraged to review the State Historic Preservation Plan, to coordinate its programs with the plan’s goals and strategies to the extent possible, and to provide continuing comments on issues affecting historic preservation.

The SHPO should consult with the existing Historic Preservation Plan Working Group each fall to develop a yearly work plan, to include key actions to be undertaken during the course of the following calendar year.

The SHPO should present the yearly work plan to the State Review Board for Historic Preservation, as the citizen board advising the agency on historic preservation priorities and issues; for review and comment at the Board’s annual business meeting (currently held in October of each year).

The work plan can then be used to monitor the progress of activities statewide. The Working Group should meet regularly to review progress of priority actions and any issues or changes that would affect preservation in Delaware.

A brief annual report for should be produced and offered to the Office of State Planning Coordination as a background document for its annual Report on State Planning Issues. The annual report should be submitted to the State Review Board for Historic Preservation, and also to the National Park Service as part of the Historic Preservation Fund end-of-year report by the State Historic Preservation Office.

A Note about the SHPO’s Role

The SHPO is often listed among the suggested partners to help carry out the plan’s actions. Certainly, there are some actions for which the agency would be directly responsible, particularly in Goal 1. However, the SHPO would not be the lead for most of this work. Nor should it be. As discussed throughout this plan, historic preservation is a state-wide concern, and is best achieved through the combined and coordinated efforts of multiple groups and interests. The SHPO remains a source for information and guidance, and tracks the implementation of the actions outlined in the plan.
### Goal #1: Strengthen/Expand Delaware's Core Federal/State Historic Preservation Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Suggested Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase awareness of the importance of the federal/state historic programs among legislators, state and local government officials, businesses, and the general public</td>
<td>1a Develop and publish brochure(s) on the state’s historic preservation programs</td>
<td>SHPO, HCA, Preservation Planners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Advocate for legislators’ support of historic preservation programs at the state and federal levels</td>
<td>1b Seek opportunities to join outreach efforts on different topics to highlight what historic preservation programs have to offer</td>
<td>community preservationists, Preservation Planners, Preservation Delaware, SHPO, UDCHAD, DSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote use of federal and state historic preservation tax credits for the preservation and rehabilitation of historic buildings in Delaware</td>
<td>2a Broaden stakeholder participation in annual National Historic Preservation Advocacy Day</td>
<td>State Review Board, Preservation Planners, CLGs, community preservationists, Preservation Delaware, HCA Advocacy Plan Committee, ACRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2b Coordinate state-level activities with HCA Advocacy Plan, including but not limited to advocacy to establish a Delaware Threatened Properties Program to identify and document architectural and archaeological threatened properties, and support reauthorization of the state historic preservation tax credit program</td>
<td>SHPO, UDCHAD, DSU, Preservation Planners, community preservationists, Preservation Delaware, HCA Advocacy Plan Committee, ACRA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2c Monitor proposed legislation or regulations that may affect historic preservation efforts and alert partners on advocacy needs</td>
<td>Preservation Delaware, Preservation Planners, community preservationists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3a To encourage support for reauthorization: - conduct an economic impact study of the tax credit program and use results to develop presentation - arrange for presentations to business leaders and similar audiences to demonstrate how historic preservation stimulates local economies</td>
<td>SHPO, Preservation Delaware, Preservation Planners, DSHA, CLGs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3b Broaden outreach to communities with National Register-listed historic districts to encourage participation in the program, using social media, speakers, and other forms of community education</td>
<td>SHPO, Preservation Delaware, UDCHAD, DSU, Preservation Planners, community preservationists, local historical societies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3c Notify local legislators and elected officials of completed historic preservation tax credit projects within their jurisdictions; invite to press events highlighting the work of the program</td>
<td>HCA, CLGs and other municipalities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Strategies</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Goal #1:</strong> Strengthen/Expand Delaware's Core Federal/State Historic Preservation Program</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage participation of Delaware communities in the Certified Local Government program to support community preservation, revitalization, and enhancement</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>5</th>
<th>Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Work to assure that federal and state regulatory compliance processes are as efficient and effective as possible in the identification, documentation, and appropriate treatment of historic resources</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>6</th>
<th>Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Transform digital technology for SHPO programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 4a | Develop and publish a brochure on Delaware's Certified Local Government program, with FAQs and benefits of participation and distribute to municipalities |
| 5a | Provide guidance for agencies' staff and preservation consultants, and seek opportunities to partner with other training efforts on compliance |
| 5b | Use existing regulations and processes, including alternative mitigation for Section 106 projects, to address priorities, where appropriate, including but not limited to enhancements for the CHRIS |
| 5c | Develop Programmatic Agreements to streamline minor project reviews and to establish future priorities for mitigation measures; use templates for such agreements to facilitate the process. |
| 6a | Explore e-government initiatives that improve efficiency of SHPO programs, using digital workflow systems to integrate information submitted, reviews, responses, and document archiving |
| 6b | Identify potential funding sources to develop and implement new digital work flow systems for major SHPO programs |
| 6c | Fully map out SHPO business processes and develop business plan to DTI's specifications for digital workflow systems |
### Goal #1: Strengthen/Expand Delaware’s Core Federal/State Historic Preservation Program

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Suggested Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>7</strong> Address gaps and biases in the state’s inventory of historic properties</td>
<td><strong>7a</strong> Prioritize cultural resource survey and National Register nominations to address under-represented communities or property types</td>
<td>Preservation planners, CLGs and other municipalities, historical societies, property owners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>8</strong> Update and improve existing statewide inventory of historic resources</td>
<td><strong>7b</strong> Identify partners to work on amending or providing additional updated information for 5 National Register nominations that do not meet current National Park Service standards, including defining contributing and non-contributing resources</td>
<td>SHPO, Preservation Planners, UDCHAD, DSU, property owners, local governments, local historical societies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>9</strong> Strengthen state laws on protection of cultural resources</td>
<td><strong>7c</strong> Identify partners and opportunities to survey areas threatened by sea-level rise or other climate change issues</td>
<td>SHPO, Plan Working Group, Preservation Planners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>10</strong> Survey condition of state boundary markers.</td>
<td><strong>8</strong> Complete the process of digitizing cultural resource survey information, mapping and uploading information in CHRIS (or its successor)</td>
<td>SHPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>11</strong> Undertake an analysis of current and future staffing needs within SHPO</td>
<td><strong>9</strong> Examine state laws on protection of cultural resources to identify areas that need to be strengthened</td>
<td>SHPO, HCA Advocacy Plan Committee, Plan Working Group, Indian Tribes, DNREC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>10</strong> Conduct field surveys and prepare report on current conditions, with recommendations for repairs and replacements</td>
<td>SHPO, federal and state agencies, Plan Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>11</strong> Coordinate with the broader analysis of conducted under HCA’s Strategic Plan to assess staff needed to effectively carry mandates and goals, including but not limited consideration of adding full time access to a preservation planner/outreach coordinator, archaeologist devoted to new survey work, and an architect/restoration specialist</td>
<td>DOS, HCA, SHPO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Goal #2: Enhance or Establish Relationships Among Government Programs that Impact Historic Preservation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Suggested Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Encourage all governments to serve as positive models for stewardship of historic properties which they own.</td>
<td>1a. Provide lists of government-owned historic properties and their histories to the relevant agencies</td>
<td>SHPO, Preservation Planners, Plan Working Group, CLGs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1b. Encourage appropriate maintenance and rehab of historic properties within government ownership through outreach, training, and technical assistance</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1c. Work with state and local agencies to nominate historic buildings within their ownership to the National Register of Historic Places and adopt appropriate treatments to protect them</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1d. Engage partners to help identify and research cultural landscapes within government ownership and provide guidance on including histories in interpretive programs</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Coordinate the variety of programs across state government that impact historic resources to support preservation, revitalization and stewardship</td>
<td>2a. Explore establishing an administrative policy for identifying and maintaining historic properties</td>
<td>Plan Working Group, Preservation Planners, SHPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2b. Convene a summit of land holding/managing state agencies to identify common goals as well as issues that can impede historic preservation, and work to find practical solutions</td>
<td>SHPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Strengthen working relationships between federally recognized Indian tribes and state government working with federal programs</td>
<td>3. Share information on current state laws and policies regarding protection and treatment of burial sites, and historic and cultural resources</td>
<td>SHPO, Plan Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Capitalize on the overlap in interests of environmentalists, recreationists, and historic preservationists, particularly when dealing with cultural landscapes</td>
<td>4a. Establish regular communication with federal and state natural resource agencies to help define priorities for acquisition/preservation of landscapes, before grant applications are submitted; encourage inclusion of costs for addressing effects on historic properties</td>
<td>SHPO, DNREC, USFWS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4b. Provide outreach to organizations to foster understanding of historic preservation laws and regulations that may apply to their projects, and identify ways projects may be mutually beneficial</td>
<td>SHPO,</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Goal #2: Enhance or Establish Relationships Among Government Programs that Impact Historic Preservation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Suggested Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **4**  
Capitalize on the overlap in interests of environmentalists, recreationists, and historic preservationists, particularly when dealing with cultural landscapes | **4c**  
Collaborate with agencies and organizations that preserve and interpret natural resources to encourage inclusion of cultural resource information in interpretive plans | SHPO, DNREC, First State National Park |
| **5**  
Encourage participation of communities in programs to preserve downtown areas, and strengthen coordination between agencies/organizations and Downtown Delaware. | **5a**  
Encourage communities with historic districts to apply for the Downtown Development Districts (DDD) program | SHPO, Plan Working Group, Downtown Delaware, Preservation Delaware |
| **5b**  
Provide DDD communities with guidance on adaptive reuse of under-used or vacant historic commercial and industrial buildings | **5b**  
Provide Downtown Delaware with information on the nature of properties within communities participating in its programs to help assess needs | SHPO, CLGs |
| **5c**  
Encourage communities to participate in programs such as Main Street America and Downtown Delaware that emphasize preservation-based economic development | **5c**  
Provide DDD communities with information on the nature of properties within communities participating in its programs to help assess needs | CLGs, Preservation Delaware |
| **5d**  
Provide Downtown Delaware with information on the nature of properties within communities participating in its programs to help assess needs | **5d**  
Participate in Idea Exchange meetings with Downtown Delaware and communities participating in its programs | SHPO, Preservation Planners |
| **5e**  
Participate in Idea Exchange meetings with Downtown Delaware and communities participating in its programs | **5e**  
Compile list of guidelines on appropriate rehabilitation of historic properties used by federal and state agencies, and make recommendations on additions or amendments to program staff | SHPO, Downtown Delaware, participating communities, preservation planners |
| **6**  
Use federal housing and urban revitalization programs to support historic rehabilitation in targeted community and neighborhood initiatives across the state | **6**  
Compile list of guidelines on appropriate rehabilitation of historic properties used by federal and state agencies, and make recommendations on additions or amendments to program staff | SHPO, HUD, DSHA, USDA |
| **7**  
Coordinate with the Delaware Dept. of Agriculture to support preservation of historic properties within their programs | **7a**  
Re-establish program to document historic buildings on Century Farms | DDA, universities/colleges, volunteers, SHPO, program applicants |
| **7b**  
Document historic buildings and agricultural landscapes included in the Farmland Preservation Program | **7b**  
Document historic buildings and agricultural landscapes included in the Farmland Preservation Program | |
| **7c**  
Provide information on economic and sustainability benefits of preserving historic buildings for DE DA to distribute to participating farmers in their programs | **7c**  
Provide information on economic and sustainability benefits of preserving historic buildings for DE DA to distribute to participating farmers in their programs | |
Goal #2: Enhance or Establish Relationships Among Government Programs that Impact Historic Preservation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Coordinate with DNREC to support preservation of historic properties and landscapes within their programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Coordinate with DEMA to include historic preservation values in their programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Coordinate with DelDOT to support preservation of historic properties and landscapes within its programs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Through the Office of State Planning Coordination's Preliminary Land Use Service (PLUS), encourage awareness of proposed land use changes that may affect historic resources and cultural landscapes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Provide information to the Open Space Council on historic properties and cultural landscapes within properties proposed for purchase</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9a</td>
<td>Participate in DEMA’s planning group to develop the Statewide Hazard Mitigation Plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9b</td>
<td>Provide DEMA with information on disaster planning and response for historic buildings to include in their informational packages</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9c</td>
<td>Work with FEMA, DEMA and others to provide clear guidance on flood protection measures and exemptions for historic properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Continue to work with the Delaware Byways program to review proposed byways and develop Corridor Management Plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11a</td>
<td>Compile and publicize a list of where to find information about proposed development and how to participate in public comment processes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11b</td>
<td>Alert Preservation Delaware and other engaged partners and organizations, including Indian Tribes, of opportunities to participate in county, municipal, and transportation comprehensive planning to encourage adoption of policies, strategies and incentives that protect community character and historic properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11c</td>
<td>Collaborate with OSPC and other state agencies that participate in the PLUS review process in making comments on development projects and comprehensive plans more effective</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11d</td>
<td>Emphasize a cultural landscape approach to review of development projects and comprehensive plans that recognizes historic landscape contexts in both rural and urban areas</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Suggested Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>DNREC, SHPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9a</td>
<td>SHPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9b</td>
<td>SHPO, Plan Working Group, Preservation Planners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9c</td>
<td>SHPO, Plan Working Group, Preservation Planners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>SHPO, Plan Working Group, Preservation Planners, DelDOT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11a</td>
<td>Preservation Delaware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11b</td>
<td>SHPO, Preservation Delaware, community preservationists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11c</td>
<td>SHPO, Plan Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11d</td>
<td>SHPO, OSPC, Preservation Planners</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Goal #2: Enhance or Establish Relationships Among Government Programs that Impact Historic Preservation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Suggested Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Encourage coordination with Delaware Department of Education (DOE) in planning for new and upgraded school facilities with school districts</td>
<td>Provide list of historic schools currently in use by school districts and their histories</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Coordinate with OMB’s Facilities Management in planning for changes to state buildings</td>
<td>Coordinate comments on changes to historic schools with DOE to protect their historic character</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Coordinate with the State Fire Marshall on statewide policies for local fire companies</td>
<td>Coordinate comments on new school facilities with DOE to protect historic landscapes, archaeological sites, and historic buildings during school planning and construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Coordinate with the Dept. of Safety and Homeland Security (DSHS) on planning for changes to state buildings</td>
<td>Re-establish memorandum of understanding to review facilities for historic preservation values in rehabilitation and new construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Coordinate with state-owned institutions of higher learning in planning changes to their campuses</td>
<td>Provide training for staff on appropriate maintenance, rehabilitation and treatment of historic buildings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12c</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13b</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Goal #3: Encourage inclusion of historic preservation values as a best practice in landscape and community planning.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Suggested Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Educate local governments on historic preservation as contributing to quality of life within their jurisdictions</td>
<td>Provide information to local governments on what historic properties are in their jurisdiction (as needed) to ensure the full range of properties is addressed in the historic preservation section of comprehensive land-use plans</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Partner with the League of Local Governments to give a workshop on incorporating historic preservation into their comprehensive plans and how to protect historic properties when approving re-zoning requests.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Give a presentation to the Delaware Chapter of the American Institute of Certified Planners (AICP) and the Delaware Building Code Officials Association on historic preservation tools and incentives in local plans, including economic, social, and sustainability benefits of preservation of historic properties</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td>Provide information to local governments on how to establish and use local historic districts as a method of protecting historic properties and community character</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Encourage local governments to adopt pro-preservation strategies within their comprehensive land-use plans and regulations</td>
<td>Prepare a summary/analysis of the policies and programs of Delaware’s fifty-seven municipalities with respect to historic preservation, community revitalization and resiliency; use results to target outreach to specific local governments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Work with local governments to incorporate standards to protect historic properties and landscapes into their site plan ordinances</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Work with local governments to adopt general guidelines for the documentation and appropriate treatment of historic buildings and landscape resources in their jurisdiction, addressing each level in the Strategies for State Policies and Spending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td>Proactively reach out to municipalities as they prepare to develop or update their comprehensive plans prior to their submittals to PLUS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong> Encourage local governments to adopt pro-preservation strategies within their comprehensive land-use plans and regulations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3</strong> Encourage state agencies to follow planning approaches that recognize the importance of historic preservation in preserving Delaware's quality of life</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4</strong> Coordinate preservation planning with statewide assessment of and planning for climate change and sustainability</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5</strong> Encourage local governments to adopt pro-preservation strategies within their comprehensive land-use plans and regulations</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal #3: Encourage inclusion of historic preservation values as a best practice in landscape and community planning.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2e</strong> Develop a toolkit with model documents that is accessible for governments, local advocates, and residents with information on the available tools and best practices related to historic preservation, community revitalization, and enhancement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3a</strong> Adopt the Delaware State Historic Preservation Plan as the historic preservation policy element of the Strategies for State Policies and Spending</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3b</strong> Work with the Open Space Council to amend criteria for using historic preservation values as a factor in determining the use of open space funds</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4a</strong> Promote appropriate rehabilitation and adaptive reuse in historic communities, as components of statewide planning for sustainability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4b</strong> Publicize the potential impact of climate change on historic properties and landscapes for use in state sustainability planning</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4c</strong> Work with state agencies to adopt appropriate hazard mitigation strategies to protect historic buildings within their ownership in accordance with DNREC's resiliency plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5a</strong> Research and publicize information on defining cultural landscapes and methods to preserve, manage, and enhance character-defining features of significant cultural landscapes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5b</strong> Engage with organizations implementing Delaware Byways Corridor Management Plans, and potential applicants for the Byways program to provide information on historic properties and cultural landscapes to enhance interpretation and protection of each byway</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5c</strong> Encourage government agencies and conservation organizations to adopt cultural landscape management methods</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Suggested Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2e</strong></td>
<td>CLGs, Plan Working Group, National Trust advisor</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3a</strong></td>
<td>OSPC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>3b</strong></td>
<td>SHPO, DNREC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4a</strong></td>
<td>SHPO, CLGs, Preservation Planners, OSPC, DNREC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4b</strong></td>
<td>SHPO, OSPC, DNREC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>4c</strong></td>
<td>SHPO, DEMA, DNREC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5a</strong></td>
<td>UDCHAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5b</strong></td>
<td>SHPO, DelDOT, Preservation Planners, community preservationists</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5c</strong></td>
<td>SHPO, DNREC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategies</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Encourage institutions of higher learning to include historic preservation values in providing planning services and educating students, and to protect their historic facilities</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goal #3: Encourage inclusion of historic preservation values as a best practice in landscape and community planning.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Strategies</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6e</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6f</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>6</th>
<th>Suggested Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>6a</td>
<td>Provide historic property information, historic preservation information, and guidance to centers and students working with municipalities on community planning and comprehensive land use plans</td>
<td>UD IPA, SHPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6b</td>
<td>Provide internships for students to work with preservation projects</td>
<td>UDCHAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6c</td>
<td>Include historic preservation courses in curricula</td>
<td>UDCHAD, DSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6d</td>
<td>Provide information on values, economic benefits, and sustainability of reuse and rehabilitation of historic buildings</td>
<td>Plan Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6e</td>
<td>Provide information on cultural landscapes and protection of community character in both urban and rural areas</td>
<td>Plan Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6f</td>
<td>Engage students to identify historic buildings owned by colleges and universities and research their histories</td>
<td>UD, DSU, Wesley College, DelTech, Wilmington University, Widener University, Delaware Law School</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Goal #4: Support Grassroots Historic Preservation Initiatives

1. Develop and sustain a network of local historic preservation organizations and advocates and provide information and tools that support their efforts

- Support Preservation Delaware Inc. (PDI) in rebuilding its capacity and programming statewide as a supporter and partner for grassroots preservation
- Prepare a summary/analysis of the types of organizations and entities involved in historic preservation at the county and municipal level
- Partner with national organizations to provide training on the best ways to engage property owners and local governments before crisis situations (imminent demolition or construction) develop
- Provide network members with sources of accurate information and encourage the constructive use of social media
- Investigate ways to use technology to incorporate continuing public input on historic property and preservation issues
- Identify resources or groups of resources under particular threat that should be subject of special action
- Engage with property owners to explore options to preserve resources
- Encourage preservation advocates to take full advantage of opportunities to influence public policy and projects, including attending meetings of boards and commissions, commenting on local government comprehensive plans and the Capitol Transportation Plan
- Compile information on current federal and state laws and policies regarding protection and treatment of burial sites, and historic and cultural resources, and share with Indian tribes

Suggested Partners:
- SHPO, Plan Working Group
- Community preservationists
- Preservation Delaware
- Preservation Planners
- National Trust
- HCA Advocacy Committee

2. Strengthen working relationships between Indian tribes and state and local governments and surrounding communities

- SHPO, Plan Working Group
- Community preservationists
- Preservation Delaware
- Preservation Planners
- National Trust
- HCA Advocacy Committee
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Suggested Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>2</strong> Strengthen working relationships between Indian tribes and state and local governments and surrounding communities</td>
<td><strong>2b</strong> Partner with State Recognized Tribes to offer public workshops at their tribal centers on where to find information on historic resources and preservation tools</td>
<td>SHPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>2c</strong> Assist Tribes in conducting research on resources they consider important to their heritage</td>
<td>SHPO, UDCHAD, DSU, DE Public Archives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3a</strong> Educate community downtown partnership and tourism organizations on the benefits of adopting a Main Street approach to the revitalization of their commercial centers</td>
<td>Delaware Downtown, SHPO, convention and visitor bureaus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3b</strong> Meet with local land trust organizations to discuss best practices</td>
<td>Preservation Planners, SHPO, Wilmington Land Bank, Sussex County Land Trust and other similar organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3c</strong> Provide outreach to organizations that serve Hispanic communities on ways to foster understanding of the history of the places in which they live and to seek opportunities to celebrate their heritage</td>
<td>SHPO, Preservation Planners, Plan Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>3d</strong> Work with land conservancy non-profits to recognize the value of protecting archaeological sites within their holdings through education (presentation, workshop, web site, etc.)</td>
<td>SHPO, Archaeological Society of Delaware, DNREC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
**Goal #5: Make Information on Historic Resources Widely Available**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Suggested Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 Compile, assess, and share research on different geographical areas, historical themes, periods, and property types (historic contexts)</td>
<td>1a Assess the current state of historic context coverage in studies held in the SHPO Research Center and in existing research held by other partners</td>
<td>SHPO, UDCHAD, DSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Explore ways of utilizing the State of Delaware's FirstMap as a means of sharing public information on historic properties</td>
<td>1b Using a consistent format, summarize each context and research study, and publish with links to the full reports, where available</td>
<td>SHPO, UDCHAD, DSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 Expand and promote the use of CHRIS</td>
<td>1c Identify and prioritize gaps in research; publish list and advertise future research needs</td>
<td>SHPO, UDCHAD, DSU, Plan Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1d Seek mechanisms to address the gaps, including but not limited to:</td>
<td>SHPO, UDCHAD, DSU, Plan Working Group</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Mitigation for federal projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Students looking for topics for theses or class research projects</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Historical societies and other non-profits working on exhibits or other subjects of local interest</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 Expand and promote the use of CHRIS</td>
<td>2a Consult DTI’s FirstMap team and other members of the Delaware Geographic Data Committee for ideas on how historic property information may be further served through that system</td>
<td>SHPO, DTI, DGDC, GIC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3a Explore technologies such as social media to advertise the availability of CHRIS as a research tool</td>
<td>SHPO, HCA Advocacy Committee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3b Ensure key partners, including Indian tribes, have full access to CHRIS</td>
<td>SHPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3c Develop an online tutorial for the public on how to use CHRIS; give at least two presentations per year to partners (e.g., the State Review Board for Historic Preservation) and the public on the use of CHRIS</td>
<td>SHPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3d Develop and publish Story Maps on historical topics using CHRIS data</td>
<td>SHPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategies</td>
<td>Actions</td>
<td>Suggested Partners</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Expand and promote the use of CHRIS</td>
<td>Publicize the historic cemetery layer on CHRIS to genealogical and historical organizations and invite members to provide additional information to upload to the layer</td>
<td>SHPO, community preservationists, Delaware Genealogical Society</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Explore ways to establish new preservation trades and materials conservation programs or incorporate these topics in existing programs</td>
<td>Establish working group of advocacy partners and educators to determine goals, primary topics and best approaches to fund and implement new program(s)</td>
<td>SHPO, Plan Working Group, DelTech, DE Dept. of Education, DNREC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Determine potential for Delaware Technical and Community College branches and vocational high schools to include historic rehabilitation approaches in current trades programs, including potential for apprenticeships with contractors</td>
<td>DelTech</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Determine potential for UDCHAD and UD Department of Arts Conservation to broaden materials conservation to building materials and to include historic preservation issues in current coursework</td>
<td>UDCHAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Develop workshops for current contractors and tradesmen on historic rehabilitation topics and present at least one each year</td>
<td>SHPO, HCA Preservation Maintenance Team, DNREC, UDCHAD, DSU</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Maintain and publish list of contractors and tradesmen qualified/experienced in areas of historic rehabilitation</td>
<td>Preservation Delaware, SHPO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Goal #5: Make Information on Historic Resources Widely Available**

| 3e                                                                 | Establish working group of advocacy partners and educators to determine goals, primary topics and best approaches to fund and implement new program(s) | SHPO, Plan Working Group, DelTech, DE Dept. of Education, DNREC                    |
| 4a                                                                 | Establish working group of advocacy partners and educators to determine goals, primary topics and best approaches to fund and implement new program(s) | SHPO, Plan Working Group, DelTech, DE Dept. of Education, DNREC                    |
| 4b                                                                 | Determine potential for Delaware Technical and Community College branches and vocational high schools to include historic rehabilitation approaches in current trades programs, including potential for apprenticeships with contractors | DelTech                                                                          |
| 4c                                                                 | Determine potential for UDCHAD and UD Department of Arts Conservation to broaden materials conservation to building materials and to include historic preservation issues in current coursework | UDCHAD                                                                           |
| 4d                                                                 | Develop workshops for current contractors and tradesmen on historic rehabilitation topics and present at least one each year | SHPO, HCA Preservation Maintenance Team, DNREC, UDCHAD, DSU                        |
| 4e                                                                 | Maintain and publish list of contractors and tradesmen qualified/experienced in areas of historic rehabilitation | Preservation Delaware, SHPO                                                        |
Goal #6: Broadly Communicate the Value of Delaware’s Historic Resources and the Means by Which They Can Be Preserved

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Actions</th>
<th>Suggested Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Employ the statewide network of historic preservation organizations and advocates (see Goal 4) to inform and engage broader audiences</td>
<td>1a Publish a monthly online newsletter outlining information, activities and events on historic preservation in Delaware</td>
<td>HCA, Preservation Delaware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coordinate the interpretation of landscapes, communities, and natural and historic properties statewide around storylines that embrace Delaware’s history, character, and significance</td>
<td>1b Hold an annual historic preservation conference in Delaware for preservation planners, state, county, and local government staff, and organizations and individuals interested in historic preservation</td>
<td>Preservation Delaware, Downtown Delaware, HCA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1c Organize regular meetings and workshops at the county level for local historic preservation advocates where information and experiences can be shared</td>
<td>Preservation Planners, CLGs, historical societies, Preservation Delaware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1d Celebrate successful projects and initiatives through publications, press releases, events, and awards</td>
<td>Preservation Delaware, HCA, CLGs and historic review boards/commissions</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2a Establish storylines for natural, cultural, and heritage tourism that can be used in tourism promotion to convey appreciation of Delaware’s significance and provide for interpretive links between a wide variety of sites</td>
<td>HCA, First State NHP, UGRR Coalition, Delaware Byways, Delaware Museum Association, UD, DSU, Indian tribes, State Parks, DelDOT, DHS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2b Encourage managers of museums, historic sites, parks, preserves, natural areas, and byways to relate their interpretive themes and presentations to statewide storylines</td>
<td>HCA, HCA affiliates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2c Encourage communities to develop and implement community interpretive programs with outdoor interpretation and links to local historic sites and attractions, including byways.</td>
<td>HCA, HCA affiliates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2d Engage with the Division of the Arts and other arts organizations on using public art for community interpretation and the enhancement of natural and historic sites</td>
<td>HCA, Division of the Arts</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Goal #6: Broadly Communicate the Value of Delaware’s Historic Resources and the Means by Which They Can Be Preserved

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop state and regional tourism promotion that features the statewide storylines and promotes coordinated appreciation of Delaware’s natural, historic, and cultural resources as an important component of Delaware’s economic development plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote educational programming on Delaware history, preservation, and natural resources to school districts as a means of reaching out to the state’s young people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote educational programming on Delaware history and historic and natural resources as a means of reaching out to the general public</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Actions</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Develop state and regional tourism promotion that features the statewide storylines and promotes coordinated appreciation of Delaware’s natural, historic, and cultural resources as an important component of Delaware’s economic development plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote educational programming on Delaware history, preservation, and natural resources to school districts as a means of reaching out to the state’s young people</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Promote educational programming on Delaware history and historic and natural resources as a means of reaching out to the general public</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Suggested Partners</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>SHPO, convention and visitor bureaus, Chambers of Commerce</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Division of Small Business, HCA, DDA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOE, HCA, Delaware Museum Association, Plan Working Group, Delaware Heritage Commission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First State Heritage Park, First State NHP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCA, First State National Historical Park, State Parks, historical societies, Delaware Museum Association</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DE Heritage Commission, HCA, DE Public Archives, Division for the Visually Impaired</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCA, State Parks, First State NHP, UDCHAD, DSU, museums, historical societies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DelDOT, DNREC, ASD, SHPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SHPO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Strategies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>5</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>6</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Appendix I: Laws and Regulations Concerning Cultural Resources and Historic Preservation

LEGISLATION

- Antiquities Act of 1906, as amended: 54 U.S.C. § 320301(a) to (c)
  - Subdivision 2 – National Register of Historic Places: 54 U.S.C. §§ 302101 to 302108
  - Subdivision 5 – Federal Agency Historic Preservation Responsibilities, Chapter 3061 – Program Responsibilities and Authorities: 54 U.S.C. § 306101 et seq. (formerly Section 110)
  - Subdivision 6, Chapter 3071 – Miscellaneous, Access to information: 54 U.S.C. § 307103 (formerly Section 304)
- See also Other Laws and Authorities Related to the National Historic Preservation Act: http://www.achp.gov/related.html
- Archaeological Resources Protection Act of 1979, as amended: 16 U.S.C. 470aa-mm

STATE OF DELAWARE (Delaware Code: http://delcode.delaware.gov/index.shtml)
- Unmarked Human Remains Act: 7 Del. C. § 5401-5410
- Archaeological Resources in the State, General Provisions: 7 Del. C. § 5301-5302
- Archaeological Resources in the State (Antiquities Act): 7 Del. C. § 5303-5316
  - Antiquities Act, Confidentiality: 7 Del. C. § 5314
- State Archives and Historical Objects: 29 Del. C. Ch. 5
- State Boundaries, including boundary monuments: 29 Del.C. Ch.2
- Land and Historic Resource Tax Credit: 30 Del. C. Ch.18
- Land Use Planning: 29 Del. C. Ch. 92
- Crimes and Criminal Procedure, Title 11, Ch. 5, Subch.7
  - Desecration (of public monuments, structures, etc.): 11 Del. C. § 1331
  - Trading in human remains and associated funerary objects: 11 Del. C. § 1333
- Freedom of Information Act: 29 Del. C. § 10002 (d) (6)

REGULATIONS

FEDERAL
- 36 CFR PART 79 Curation of Federally Owned and Administered Archeological Collections
- 36 CFR PART 800 Protection of Historic Properties
- 43 CFR PART 10 Native American Graves Protection and Repatriation Regulations

STATE OF DELAWARE (http://regulations.delaware.gov/default.shtml)
- Curation Fee for Archaeological Collections
- Historic Preservation Tax Credit Program

GUIDANCE

FEDERAL
- National Park Service:
  - Federal Preservation Tax Incentives, National Register of Historic Places, and other preservation programs: https://www.nps.gov/orgs/1345/whatwedoinherit.htm
  - Secretary of the Interior’s Standards for Identification, Evaluation, and Archeological Documentation: https://www.nps.gov/subjects/historicpreservation/standards.htm
  - Secretary of the Interior’s Standards and Guidelines for the Treatment of Historic Properties: https://www.nps.gov/subjects/historicpreservation/standards.htm
  - Citizens Guide to Section 106 Review
  - Policy Statement Regarding Treatment of Burial Sites, Human Remains and Funerary Objects (February 2007)

STATE OF DELAWARE DIVISION OF HISTORICAL AND CULTURAL AFFAIRS: http://history.delaware.gov/
- Architectural Survey in Delaware (February 2015)
- Archaeological Survey in Delaware (February 2015)
- Cemeteries
- Cultural and Historical Resources Information System (CHRIS) (February, 2015)
- Discovery of Unmarked Human Remains
- Guidelines and Standards for the Curation of Archaeological Collections (DHCA, 2011)
- Preservation Planning-Certified Local Governments
- Preservation Tax Credit Program
Appendix II: Meeting The Goals of the 2013-2017 Statewide Preservation Plan

Below is a summary of a few key accomplishments of the last five years that met one of the goals of the 2013-2017 plan. This body of work was carried out by a number of different agencies, organizations and citizens, and helped provide direction for the 2018-2022 plan.

**Goal I: Encourage all governments to serve as positive models for stewardship of historic properties which they own.**

Strategies/actions focused on providing information to government agencies and staff on historic properties in their ownership, and encouraging appropriate treatment thereof

- DNREC State Parks held a workshop for its maintenance staff and other interested state employees on preservation maintenance practices for historic buildings, including window and masonry repair.
- DNREC State Parks held a Section 106 training workshop for project managers in its agency, opened to other state and federal agency staff
- In consultation with SHPO, DelDOT strengthened the covenants used to protect archaeological sites in its ownership and instituted annual monitoring of covenanted sites to ensure compliance
- SHPO staff gave presentations to State Office of Management and Budget employees on historic research methods for preparing National Register nominations, discussing why listing is important

**Goal II: Encourage active participation in historic preservation by all local governments.**

Strategies/actions focused on providing information on benefits and tools of preservation, consideration of historic properties in land use planning, the need for local preservation planners, funding for surveys, and CLG growth and training

- In 2013, the American Planning Association's Great Places in America program recognized Walnut Street in Milford as among the nation’s exemplary streets, neighborhoods and public spaces, recognizing the value of Walnut Street’s historic architecture; the program highlights the role that planning and planners play in adding value to communities, including fostering economic growth and jobs.
- As part of the final program celebrating “Preservation50” in 2016, the Town of Smyrna gave an award to local developers and business owners in recognition of their work in rehabilitating historic buildings to establish new businesses in the downtown area; the program was held in one of the rehabilitated buildings.

**Goal III: Develop effective collaborations on historic preservation with non-traditional partners.**

Strategies/actions focused on identifying and engaging non-traditional partners, and maintaining communication with preservation partners to discuss progress

- The Archaeological Society of Delaware completed a multi-year project to survey and excavate the National Register-listed Avery’s Rest Site, enlisting professional and avocational archaeologists in countless hours of volunteer work. Part of the site was slated for development in 2005; in the ensuing years work branched out to neighboring privately-owned parcels, leading to the discovery of eleven late 17th/early 18th c. burials. In partnership with HCA, the Smithsonian National Museum of Natural History, the property owner and Avery descendants, the investigation continues to shed light on the life of the earliest Europeans and Africans to occupy what is now Delaware.
GOAL III: CONTINUED

- Beginning in 2015, the SHPO embarked on a research project to identify Delaware properties that were advertised in “The Negro Traveler’s Green Book,” a travel and vacation guidebook for people of color during the segregation era. Work included interviews with community members, and presentations on results held throughout the state to share information about the African-American-owned businesses of the last century as well as the challenges of living with the Jim Crow laws in Delaware from 1920 to the 1960’s.

- Delaware State University hosted a conference focusing on traditional cultural properties in 2015. “Learning from the Reservation: Using the Traditional Cultural Place Perspective for Better Decisions Making in a Diverse Cultural Landscape,” co-sponsored by national, regional and local organizations, with presentations on diversity and intangible heritage-values associated with landscapes and the continuity of cultural practices across the country.

- The City of Wilmington Economic Development Office has worked to address vacant and neglected historic properties, e.g.: the vacant Harper Thiel industrial property was rehabilitated to a base level and then transferred to a private developer for full rehab into a community market; the Cooper Building in the Lower Market Street Historic District was rehabilitated by the non-profit Challenge Program, a non-profit organization that provides vocational training for Delaware’s at-risk youth.

- The Wilmington Community Development Corporation also turned over vacant property in the East Side to the Central Baptist Community Development Corporation for rehabilitation, in collaboration with the Challenge Program.

- City of Wilmington engaged employees of a large corporation to participate in walking tours and lectures along with developing two Heritage Bike tours of Wilmington to reach non-traditional partners in the City.

- A local citizen mobilized efforts to clean up and repair the building and grounds of the historic Mt. Salem United Methodist Church, an African-American church that had been vacant for years and was facing condemnation; the effort led to formation of a Friends group and placement of a historic marker; the project was recognized in HCA’s annual end-of-the-year event.

- Citizens, town officials, and school district leaders are credited with opening a dialogue that resulted in re-establishing state funding to preserve the original 1921 section of the Laurel Middle School; these efforts were recognized in HCA’s annual end-of-the-year event.

- In 2014, the SHPO assembled a Historic Preservation Plan Working Group of preservation professionals and planners to meet periodically to discuss issues and strategies facing the preservation community and to monitor progress in implementing the 2013-2017 preservation plan.

GOAL IV: EXPAND OPPORTUNITIES FOR PUBLIC EDUCATION TO INCREASE SUPPORT FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION

Strategies/actions focused on conveying a broad outlook on heritage conservation to the public, encouraging including historic properties and preservation in existing history-related programs, exploring ways to address threatened resources, and expanding outlets for public education.

The SHPO and partners engaged in a number of “Preservation50” activities to celebrate the 50th anniversary of the National Historic Preservation Act, including:

- A grassroots group of citizens formed to promote the anniversary and historic preservation in general; the group met 15 times in different locations across the state, focusing on various topics. At the urging of this group, the Delaware legislature passed a resolution commending the NHPA, posted on the Preservation50 webpage.

- The SHPO successfully worked with agencies and organizations to encourage a historic preservation focus of existing annual events (e.g., Dover Days, Chautauqua event in Lewes).

- Partners including the NPS First State National Historical Park, First State Heritage Park, HCA and SHPO, the Lenape Indian Tribe of Delaware, Biggs Museum of American Art, and others developed a new interactive program, the Arts, Culture and Heritage (ArCH) Field Days for 4th grade students. The program is now an annual event that maintains a preservation/conservation focus, with over 1,000 participants each year.

- For the nationwide Making Archaeology Public Project, SHPO posted a new public-oriented video with interviews of key figures in Delaware archaeology, describing how NHPA-based archaeology has increased our understanding of Delaware’s history.

- Historic preservation topics were featured at the Summer Science Café program, a venue for science talks for laymen, hosted by a pub in Wilmington.

Other achievements under this goal include:

- Delaware State Parks reinvigorated its Time Travelers program to engage the public in archaeology programs, often in partnership with the Archaeological Society of Delaware.

- Delaware State Parks, with ASD, HCA and other partners, organized a public workshop on preserving historic cemeteries, and with the Lenape Indian Tribe of Delaware established a new annual event, “Native Ways” with presentations and activities showcasing indigenous technologies and skills.
Goal IV: Continued

- DelDOT’s US Route 301 project received the American Cultural Resources Association 2013 award for Industry-Public Sector projects that go above and beyond simple compliance with regulations, focused on innovations in archaeological survey and mitigation. The project involved intensive consultation with FHWA, SHPO and consulting firms to develop and implement both traditional excavation and alternative mitigation projects, including public outreach. Results were featured in sessions at academic conferences.

- HCA’s monthly newsletter features articles on the work of the agency, but also includes links to Delaware history-related newspaper articles and notices about upcoming events; the distribution of this newsletter averages over 2100 recipients monthly and is sent to around 140 press contacts.

- A free public lecture series This Place Matters occurred in the 2016-2017 season, with ten presentations at the historic Hale Byrnes House in New Castle, Delaware.

- HCA, with Griffith Archaeology Consulting, studied the feasibility of establishing a new program to identify and recover information from threatened historic resources (buildings, structures, archaeological sites and landscapes).

- University of Delaware, HCA, Council for Northeast Historical Archaeology, New Sweden History Conference, and the University of Lund in Sweden collaborated to present an innovative, international conference on the 375th Anniversary of New Sweden.

- SHPO staff, in collaboration with Delaware Public Archives, organized workshops for historic property owners to assist in researching and preparing National Register nominations. 15 Delaware properties were newly listed in the National Register of Historic Places between 2013 and 2017, a 28% increase over the previous planning period. One legacy nomination was amended, and one new National Historic Landmark (George Read II House) was also added in that time.

Goal V: Maintain and expand access to information about historic properties and preservation.

Strategies/Actions focused on expanding online access to historic property information, improving data quality, and exploring a Delmarva-wide perspective on research/data: In 2015, SHPO launched an expanded and updated version of its Cultural and Historical Resource Information System (CHRIS), allowing consultants and agencies to map and submit survey forms online, more in-house management and updating of data, improved management of user accounts to protect confidential information, a tool for creating public-oriented, thematic “story maps,” and delivering more extensive survey and National Register information.

- In 2016 and 2017, SHPO added new features to CHRIS, including buffer, print and search tools and overlays showing Delaware Byways, legislative districts, Downtown Development Districts, school districts, historic cemeteries, the Capt. John Smith Trail and First State National Historical Park.

- DelDOT cultural resource staff completed a project to map archaeological site locations into CHRIS, a cooperative effort with SHPO, reviewing over 400 records, mapping and attaching forms for over 300 sites.

- SHPO secured state and federal funding to complete a major project to map points and polygons into CHRIS. Over 31,000 new points were added to the system, and adjustments/ additional data made to over 25,000 existing points; hundreds of polygons showing areas that have been previously surveyed for cultural resources were also mapped into the system.

- With support from the Delaware Dept. of State, employing Computer Aid, Inc. (CAI) a company that focuses on hiring people on the autism spectrum, SHPO made significant progress in scanning historic property files which can then be uploaded and connected to the points mapped into CHRIS. As of 2017, nearly 62,000 documents had been scanned.

- Through several innovative Section 106 survey and mitigation projects, new information on Delaware history shared with the public, including: FHWA/ DelDOT’s surveys of 20th century properties for the US 113 projects; City of Wilmington’s publication, From Creek to Tap: The Brandywine and Wilmington’s Public Water System (which won regional award); Dover Air Force Base’s publication To Slip the Bonds of Earth: the History of the Dover Air Force Base and its Surroundings.

- DelDOT and consulting firms, in consultation with SHPO, completed alternative mitigation projects for the US 301 project, including a historic context on the archaeology and history of African-American settlement in St. Georges Hundred. Work on several other contexts is ongoing.

- SHPO, in consultation with NPS staff, developed and circulated guidelines for amending legacy nominations in the effort to guide updating nominations written before 1980.

- Through the CLG sub-grant program, Lewes, Milton, and Delaware City began working on re-surveys of NRHP-listed historic districts, working with UDCHAD.

- HCA archaeologist worked with counterparts in Maryland to include examples of Delaware artifacts in online guidance on regional artifact types.

- HCA, the URCD, Delaware Byways, Maryland Tourism Office, and NPS collaborated on the Harriet Tubman Underground Railway Byway in research, maps, and brochures exploring historic properties.
Appendix III: Planning Meetings and Participants in the Planning Process

A. PUBLIC MEETINGS (MARCH 2017)
Laurel Public Library
Historical Society of Delaware, Wilmington (Saturday afternoon)
Lewes Public Library
Dover Public Library
Appoquinimink Public Library, Middletown
*all weekday evenings unless otherwise noted

B. TARGETED PUBLIC PRESENTATIONS (WITH BRIEF PAPER SURVEY)
Quaker Hill Historic Foundation – Sacred Places program, Wilmington (Oct. 2016)
Underground Railroad Coalition, Dover (February 2017)
Lewes Historical Society (March 2017)
Western Sussex Chamber of Commerce – meeting on tourism, Seaford (March 2017)
Union #7 Masonic Lodge, Dover (March 2017)
Preservation Community Group, Hockessin Public Library (March 2017)
Manor House Retirement Community, Seaford (March 2017)
Middletown Historical Society, Middletown (June 2017)

C. ONLINE SURVEY PARTICIPANTS
An electronic survey through Survey Monkey was posted online, garnering over 170 responses. Delawareans spoke about the issues facing historic places in the state and offered their ideas for the priorities for the next five years. Most respondents were over the age of 50, professionals in a wide variety of fields, with a large majority having a college degree. Geographically the responses were diverse, representing almost all zip codes within the boundaries of Delaware and a few from neighboring states. See Appendix IV for more detailed data on the survey.

D. STAKEHOLDER INTERVIEWS
The SHPO provided consultant Heritage Strategies with a list of 50 potential stakeholders from which to select a sample to interview. Interviews were conducted from March to May 2017, and included the following 18 individuals:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stakeholder</th>
<th>Title and Organization</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Scott Blaier</td>
<td>Planner, Department of Agriculture</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Valerie Cesna</td>
<td>Planner, New Castle County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elizabeth Caufield</td>
<td>Planner, Kent County</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>David Clarke</td>
<td>Federal Preservation Officer, Federal Highway Administration</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kevin Coyle</td>
<td>Planner, DNREC Energy and Climate</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kate Hackett</td>
<td>Executive Director, Delaware Wildlands Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jim Hall</td>
<td>Chief of Cultural Resources, DNREC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Connie Holland</td>
<td>Director, Office of State Planning Coordination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sarah Kepfer</td>
<td>USDA/Natural Resources Conservation Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phil King</td>
<td>USDA/Natural Resources Conservation Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane Laird</td>
<td>State Coordinator, Downtown Delaware</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Debra Martin</td>
<td>Preservation Planner, City of Wilmington</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>John McCarthy</td>
<td>Archaeologist, DNREC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Michael McGrath</td>
<td>State Review Board member (formerly of the Dept. of Agriculture)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dawn Melson Williams</td>
<td>Planner, City of Dover</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dan Parsons</td>
<td>Preservation Planner, Sussex County, and State Review Board member</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lee Ann Walling</td>
<td>USDA/Natural Resources Conservation Service</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diane Laird</td>
<td>Planning Consultant</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amy Wood</td>
<td>Regional Historic Preservation Officer, US Fish and Wildlife</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In addition, the Deputy SHPO interviewed representatives of Federally Recognized Tribes,

Dr. Brice Obermeyer | Director, Delaware Tribe Historic Preservation Office, Delaware Tribe of Indians, Oklahoma |
Nekole Alligood | NAGPRA/Cultural Preservation Director, Delaware Nation, Oklahoma |

and met with representatives of State Recognized Tribes:

Natasha Norwood Carmine | Chief, Nanticoke Tribe |
Sterling Street | Nanticoke Museum Coordinator |
Brett Jackson | Nanticoke Council Member |
Dennis Coker | Chief, Lenape Indian Tribe of Delaware |
Doris Cooper, Theo | Lenape tribal member |
Braunskill, Joyce | |

*all weekday evenings unless otherwise noted*
Appendix III: Planning Meetings and Participants in the Planning Process

**E. GOALS DISCUSSION WORKING GROUP MEETING**

The Historic Preservation Plan Working Group met on April 26, 2017 at Buena Vista Conference Center, in New Castle, Delaware. Peter Benton and Elizabeth Watson of Heritage Strategies facilitated the discussion. Commonwealth Heritage Group’s Wade Catts and John Martin and SHPO staff (Gwen Davis, Alice Guerrant, Carlton Hall, Beverly Laing and Joan Larrivee) contributed to the discussion.

**Member Attending**

Dr. Cara Blume  
Bill Brockenbrough  
Dr. Michael Emmons  
Karen Horton  
Laura Keeley  
Robin Krawitz  
Heidi Kroftt  
Debra Martin  
John McCarthy  
Dan Parsons  
Alexandra Tarantino

**Title and Organization**

Consultant, Archaeologist (Retired DNREC)  
County Coordinator, Division of Planning, DelDOT  
UD Center for Historic Architecture and Design  
Planner, Delaware State Housing Authority  
Architectural Historian, DelDOT  
Historian, Professor, Delaware State University  
Archaeologist, Environmental Studies, DelDOT  
Preservation Planner, City of Wilmington  
Archaeologist, DNREC  
Preservation Planner, Sussex County  
Architectural Historian, DelDOT

Invited but unable to attend meeting; received materials for review:

**Member**

Scott Blaier  
Valerie Cesna  
Elizabeth Caufield  
Kevin Coyle  
Jim Hall  
Michael DiPaolo  
Connie Holland  
Diane Laird  
Dr. Cate Morrissey  
Dr. Rebecca Sheppard

**Title and Organization**

Planner, Department of Agriculture  
Planner, New Castle County  
Planner, Kent County  
Planner, DNREC Energy and Climate  
Chief of Cultural Resources, DNREC  
Executive Director, Lewes Historical Society  
Director, Office of State Planning Coordination  
State Coordinator, Downtown Delaware  
UD Center for Historic Architecture and Design (current Asst. Director)  
UD Center for Historic Architecture and Design (former Director)

In April 2018 the initial draft of the plan was circulated to Board members for review and comment.

**F. DELAWARE STATE REVIEW BOARD FOR HISTORIC PRESERVATION**

SHPO staff apprised Board members of the purpose and progress on developing the plan at Board meetings in 2017. In April 2018 the initial draft of the plan was circulated to Board members for review and comment.

**Board Member**

John Martin, Chair  
Steve Pulinka, Vice Chair  
Tony DePrima  
James Ellison  
Reba Hollingsworth, Ph.D  
Dan Parsons  
Michael McGrath  
Carol Quigley  
Esthelda Parker- Selby  
(I Vacant Position)

**Discipline/Profession**

Archaeologist/Consultant  
Historian  
Professional Planner  
Architect  
Citizen Member  
Historian/Preservation Planner  
Citizen Member  
Architect  
Citizen Member
Appendix IV: Online Survey Questions and Summary of Responses

The following is a list of questions asked in the Planning for Historic Preservation in Delaware online survey, offered through Survey Monkey in 2017. For a more detailed breakdown of survey results, please go to: https://history.delaware.gov/PP2018-2022.pdf

**Question 1:** Indicate your level of agreement with the following statements...

(Answer options: strongly agree, agree, neutral, disagree, strongly disagree)

8 statements listed (paraphrased): sense of place/quality of life; compatibility of preservation and economic growth; importance of archaeology; historic restoration is environmentally responsible; economic importance of museums/heritage tourism; respondent takes visitors to historic places; importance of historic places in educating children; and government’s role in preservation.

There was strong agreement (over 70%) with all statements except taking visitors to historic places (circa 59%). Statements about the importance of historic places in educating children, sense of place, and importance of archaeology had the most respondents (circa 97%) strongly agree or agree.

The statement with the strongest disagreement was about the importance of government’s role in preservation, but still just over 2% strongly disagreed. Approximately 3.5% strongly disagreed or disagreed that historic restoration is environmentally responsible.

**Question 2:** What do you think is the most important reason to preserve historic places?

Answered: 161
Skipped: 13

Rank the following, with 1 being most important and 4 being the least important:

Listed in order of ranking by respondents (percentages rounded)
1. Helps recognize and protect places important to all cultures (56%)
2. Contributes to the quality of life in Delaware (25%)
3. Is important to tourism in the state or in my community (14%)
4. Encourages sustainable activities that benefit the environment (5%)

**Question 3:** The greatest challenges/threats to historic places in Delaware are:...

(Answer options: strongly agree, agree, neutral, disagree, strongly disagree)

17 statements listed (paraphrased): poorly planned growth/development; neglect/abandonment of buildings; climate change; lack of incentives; perception as against property rights; perception as not “green”; inadequate laws/ordinances; lack of knowledge/enforcement of laws; lack of knowledgeable trades/craftspersons; public perception of relevancy; loss of agricultural buildings/landscapes; incompatible new construction; need for education/training; lack of protection for cemeteries; improper rehab work; lack of protection for archaeological resources; lack of local advocates; and other.

Responses were more varied for this question. Around 70% strongly agreed that poorly planned growth and development pressure and neglect and abandonment of older buildings were a significant challenge/threat. Over 80% agreed or strongly agreed that the need for education and training, lack of financial incentives and economic tools, and loss of historic farm buildings and landscapes were important issues. However, over 50% were neutral, disagreed or strongly disagreed that the perception of preservation as not “green” was an important problem. Among those that wrote in an “other” answer, one respondent disagreed with the assumption that historic properties are threatened.

Answered: 159
Skipped: 15

**Question 4:** What do you consider the greatest historic preservation losses in your community during the last five years?

(Open-ended question)

Loss of historic buildings was the most common response, with many identifying specific properties. Loss of farmland, landscapes and open space and archaeological sites were also mentioned. Many respondents noted the causes or nature of threats. A few indicated there had been no losses in their communities.

Answered: 132
Skipped: 42

**Question 5:** Are there organizations or individuals in your community actively engaged in preservation work?

(Answer options: yes, no, I don’t know)

Over half answered “Yes,” but over 30% indicated they did not know if there is active preservation work in their communities. Only around 10% answered “No.”

Answered: 158
Skipped: 16

**Question 6:** If yes, how are they achieving results?

(Open-ended question)

Over half answered “Yes,” but over 30% indicated they did not know if there is active preservation work in their communities. Only around 10% answered “No.”

Answered: 81
Skipped: 93
**Question 7:** The best strategies/tools to address issues affecting historic preservation are:

*Answered: 153  Skipped: 19*

- [Answer Options: Strongly Agree, Agree, Neutral, Disagree, Strongly Disagree]
- 17 Options (paraphrased): Integrating preservation in government planning; public education; stronger laws; training for public officials; local zoning regulations protecting historic/archaeological properties; flexibility in building codes; income tax credits for rehab; identifying/documenting historic resources; public workshops on appropriate rehab; National Register nominations; information on energy efficiency; education programs for grades K-12; training in preservation trades; online information about historic properties; more effective use of social media; protect historic properties from natural disasters; and other.

Just under 70% strongly agreed that local zoning regulations are the best strategies. Better integration of historic preservation in government planning, increased public education, training for public officials, tax credits, identifying/documenting historic resources and accessibility of online information were also considered important, with nearly 93% agreeing or strongly agreeing. Nominating properties to the National Register was seen as less important, with over 17% neutral, disagreeing or strongly disagreeing.

**Question 8:** What are the most important accomplishments in historic preservation within your community during the last five years?

*Answered: 114  Skipped: 60*

*Open-ended question*

Most responses were general, but a few noted specific achievements such as the creation of First State National Historical Park, development of historic byways/trails, saving or rehabilitating specific buildings and cemeteries, and museum and public outreach activities such as the ACH program. However, around 30% could not name an accomplishment or expressed discouragement.

**Question 9:** I would like to know more about...

*Answered: 153  Skipped: 21*

- [Answer Options: Most interested in this topic, Very interested, Somewhat interested, Less interested, Not interested]
- 11 Options (paraphrased): best methods/materials for repairing/maintaining historic buildings; energy conservation for historic buildings; preservation laws; disaster preparedness; benefits of National Register listing; compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act; green building practices; how to research a property's history; preserving cemeteries; protecting archaeological sites; and Other.

Respondents were most interested in learning how to research historic properties and to protect archaeological sites, with over 70% most or very interested in these topics. Of less interest were methods/materials for historic building repair and maintenance and energy conservation. Assistance for low-income people/organizations and working with local officials, including code enforcement, were among other topics suggested.

**Question 10:** Which of the following describes you?

*Answered: 134  Skipped: 40*

12 Options: Government Employee; Planner/Architect/Engineer; Business person/merchant/realtor; Preservation Consultant (archaeology, architectural history, history); Trades professional/Craftsman/Builder; Educator; Student; Heritage Tourism organization member; Archaeological or Historical Society/Preservation Organization Member; Historic Property Owner-Renter; Volunteer; or Other.

Government employee received the most answers (44%), with educators and members of archaeological, historical, and preservation organizations the next highest (39% and 36%, respectively). Fewest were tradesmen and students, each receiving 3% of responses. Interested/concerned citizens were among “other” responses.

**Question 11:** Your highest level of education is:

*Answered: 153  Skipped: 21*

- (5 Options: High School; Some College; College Degree; Post-graduate; Other)
- Over half of the respondents held post-graduate degrees, with nearly 40% having at least some college.

**Question 12:** I live in...

*Answered: 153  Skipped: 21*

- (6 Options: Urban area; Small city; Suburban area; Small town; Rural area; Not a Delaware resident)
- Over half of the respondents live in suburban areas or small towns, about a quarter are from urban areas or small cities, and just over 14% live in rural areas.

**Question 13:** In what ZIP code is your home located?

*Answered: 150  Skipped: 24*

- (Enter 5-digit ZIP code; for example, 00544 or 94305)
- The largest percentage of respondents (nearly 40%) were from zip codes in central Kent County, with northern New Castle County next highest (around 25%). Western Sussex County zip codes were the least represented in the survey (under 5%).

**Question 14:** Which category below includes your age?

*Answered: 152  Skipped: 22*

- (7 Options: Under 20, 20-29, 30-39, 40-49, 50-59, 60-69, and 70+)
- The majority of respondents were over 50 years old. Only 11 were under 30.

**Question 15:** Additional Comments...

*Answered: 23  Skipped: 151*

*Open-ended question*

Most comments were on topics previously covered in the survey. One raised concerns about ADA compliance. A few had suggestions for HCA regarding exhibits and open space.
Building: A construction created principally to shelter any form of human activity (houses, barns, courthouses, commercial buildings, factories, hotels, schools, churches, etc.).

Comprehensive Plan: “a document in text and maps, containing at a minimum, a municipal development strategy setting forth the jurisdiction’s position on population and housing growth within the jurisdiction, expansion of its boundaries, development of adjacent areas, redevelopment potential, community character, and the general uses of land within the community, and critical community development and infrastructure issues” (see Chapter 7 of Title 22 of the Delaware Code). Amendments and revisions to municipal comprehensive plans are reviewed by state agencies through the Preliminary Land Use Service.

Comprehensive Survey: the recodnation of all resources within a project area.

Cultural Landscape: historically significant places that illustrate human interaction with the physical environment, such as a concentration, linkage or continuity of land use; cultural landscapes may be urban, suburban, or rural in nature, and often include both man-made and natural features.

Cultural Resource: a historic building, site, structure, object, or district. Used interchangeably with property.

Downtown Development District: an area within a municipality or unincorporated area designated by the governor in order to promote downtown areas as important to Delaware’s economy and quality of life. The program’s purpose is to leverage state government resources to spur investment, job growth, housing opportunities, and strengthen neighborhoods. The program is managed by the Office of State Planning Coordination.

Historic Context: a document providing information on the historical background of related types of resources, setting criteria for evaluation of significance and physical integrity. Comprises three main defining elements: geographical area, chronological period, and historic theme.

Historic District: a significant concentration, linkage, or continuity of sites, buildings, structures, or objects united historically or aesthetically by plan or physical development

Historic Object: constructions that are primarily artistic in nature or relatively small in scale and simply constructed. Although it may be movable (by nature or design), an object is associated with a specific setting or environment (sculpture, statues, monuments, boundary markers, fountains, etc.)

National Register of Historic Places: (or National Register): the official federal list of buildings, sites, structures, objects, and districts significant in American history, architecture, archaeology, engineering, and culture; maintained by the Keeper of the National Register at the National Park Service in Washington, D.C.

Pre-contact: pertains to any group and their artifacts that resided in the area prior to European contact in the Americas. Often used interchangeably with “prehistoric.”

Preliminary Land Use Service (PLUS): as outlined in Chapter 92 of Title 29 of the Delaware Code, provides for state agency review of major land use change proposals prior to submission to local governments. The process is managed by the Office of State Planning Coordination.

Property: a historic building, site, structure, object, or district with its associated setting, which may include subsidiary buildings, site components, structures, or other landscape features. Used interchangeably with resource.

Property Type: a grouping of individual properties (buildings, sites, objects, structures, or landscapes) based on shared physical or associative characteristics.

Site: the location of a significant event, a prehistoric or historic occupation or activity, or a building or structure, whether standing, ruined, or vanished, where the location itself possesses historic, cultural, or archaeological value regardless of the value of any existing structure (archaeological sites, shipwrecks, cemeteries, ruins, battlefields, designed landscapes, etc.).

Section 106: a section of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, which requires that all federal agencies take into account the effect of their undertaking on any district, site, building, structure, or object that is included in or eligible for inclusion in the National Register of Historic Places.

Section 110: a section of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966, as amended, which outlines Federal agencies responsibilities’ with respect to historic preservation, including establishing programs that provide for careful consideration of historic properties in the agency’s activities and designating qualified Federal Preservation Officers to coordinate their historic preservation activities.

Structure: functional constructions made usually for purposes other than creating human shelter (bridges, tunnels, firetowers, dams, corn cribs, silos, windmills, roadways, boats and ships, etc.).
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